Fusion neutron production with deuterium neutral beam injection and enhancement of energetic-particle physics study in the Large Helical Device

journal or publication title	Nuclear Fusion
volume	58
number	8
page range	082004
year	2018-06-29
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10655/00012710

doi: 10.1088/1741-4326/aabcf4

Fusion neutron production with deuterium neutral beam injection and enhancement of energetic-particle physics study in the Large Helical Device

M. Isobe^{1,2}, K. Ogawa^{1,2}, T. Nishitani¹, N. Pu², H. Kawase², R. Seki^{1,2}, H. Nuga¹,
E. Takada³, S. Murakami⁴, Y. Suzuki^{1,2}, M. Yokoyama^{1,2}, M. Osakabe^{1,2},
and LHD Experiment Group¹

¹National Institute for Fusion Science, National Institutes of Natural Sciences
Toki 509-5292, Japan

²SOKENDAI (The Graduate University for Advanced Studies), Toki 509-5292, Japan

³National Institute of Technology, Toyama College, Toyama 939-8630, Japan

⁴Kyoto University, Kyoto 615-8540, Japan

Abstract

The deuterium operation of the Large Helical Device (LHD) heliotron started in March 7, 2017, after long-term preparation and commissioning works necessary to execute the deuterium experiment. A comprehensive set of neutron diagnostics was implemented to accelerate energetic-particle physics research in the LHD. The calibrated ex-vessel neutron flux monitor indicated that the total neutron emission rate in the first deuterium campaign reached 3.3×10^{15} n/s in inward shifted magnetic field configuration where confinement of helically trapped energetic ions is predicted to be better. Density dependence of measured total neutron emission rate was consistent with that predicted by the calculation. The neutron decay rate analysis following perpendicular deuterium beam blips injection suggested that the confinement of helically trapped beam ions can be understood by the classical slowing down model in relatively high-electron density plasmas at inward shifted magnetic field configuration. On the other hand, loss of helically-trapped beam ions was recognized even in the inward shifted configuration in the case of low density. Performance of the vertical neutron camera was verified by changing the plasma position and/or magnetic field strength. Drastic change of neutron emission profile was observed when the resistive interchange mode driven by helically-trapped beam ions appears. It was successfully demonstrated that the vertical neutron camera can play an important role in revealing radial transport and/or loss of beam ions. Triton burnup study was also conducted. In the first deuterium campaign, the maximum triton burnup ratio of 0.45 % was obtained in inward shifted configuration. The burnup ratio decreased as a plasma was shifted outwardly as expected.

1. Introduction

In high-temperature deuterium and/or deuterium-tritium plasmas, fusion neutrons are generated due to d-d and/or d-t fusion reaction. Fusion neutron has been one of the attractive objects for diagnosing the plasma performance in the history of nuclear fusion development. In the early days of fusion study, the measurement of the fusion neutrons was recognized as a fuel-ion temperature diagnostic. Around 1970, neutron spectrometry was proposed to evaluate fuel-ion temperature through the measurement of Doppler broadening of neutron energy [1, 2]. In the mid-1970s, the fuel-ion temperature was evaluated from the total neutron emission rate (S_n) measurements in small-sized tokamaks [3, 4] and was also assessed through neutron spectroscopy in the mid-1980s [5,6]. In parallel with the fuel-ion temperature measurements, as the deuterium neutral injection experiment progressed, the role of the neutron measurement shifted from being a fuel-ion temperature diagnostic to being an energetic-ion diagnostic in addition to fusion gain assessment because generated neutrons in deuterium neutral beam (NB)-heated deuterium plasmas are dominated by neutrons resulting from beam-plasma reactions [7, 8]. Deceleration of beam ions following NB turn-off was checked for the first time by measuring S_n in the Princeton Large Torus [9]. Subsequently, a beam blip injection method was developed to enhance accuracy of analysis on slowing-down property on beam ions in tokamaks [10-12]. After that, a rapid drop of S_n due to fishbone mode destabilized by perpendicularly-injected beam ions was found in the Poloidal Divertor Experiment [13]. It has been increasingly recognized that fusion neutron diagnostic can provide beneficial information of global behavior of energetic ions in tokamaks utilizing deuterium NB injections [14, 15]. Based on this background, diagnostics based upon nuclear technology have been steadily enhanced, in particular, in large tokamaks such as the Joint European Torus (JET) [16-18]. In the near future, neutron and gamma-ray diagnostics will play the leading role in assessing fusion output and/or energetic-ion confinement property in the International Thermonuclear Experiment Reactor [19-21].

Turning one's eyes to helical/stellarator devices, fusion neutron measurement was performed in Wendelstein 7-A for the first time in helical/stellarator devices. Then, the decay time of S_n following NB turn-off was analyzed to check whether beam ions were decelerated classically without loss or not [22]. Subsequently, neutron emission rate and/or yield measurements were conducted in the Heliotron-E [23, 24], the Advanced Toroidal Facility [25], the Compact Helical System [26, 27], and the Wendelstein 7-AS [28, 29]. Because those devices were small- and/or medium-sized and their heating systems were not as intense, S_n were very low, by about $10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ n/s. In such a case, the usage of neutron diagnostic was limited and was not very effective in studying confinement property of energetic ions. The helical plasma research entered a new stage on March 7, 2017. The deuterium operation began in the Large Helical Device (LHD), which is the world's largest-class helical device [30] in order to explore a higher-confinement plasma regime. One of the primary purposes of the LHD deuterium project is to demonstrate that confinement capability of energetic ions is relevant to the future burning plasma in helical systems. Note that energetic-ion physics studies such

as ripple transport of beam ions [31-33], effect of toroidicity-induced Alfvén eigenmodes [34-36], energetic-particle continuum modes [37], resistive interchange modes [38] on beam ion loss, and effects of resonant magnetic perturbation field on beam ion loss [39] have been intensively conducted by using various energetic-particle diagnostics such as scintillator-based escaping energetic-ion diagnostic and charge-exchanged neutral particle analyzers [40] in the hydrogen operation phase in the LHD. Because these diagnostics do not provide directly information of energetic ions at core domain, we have concentrated on phenomenological and qualitative arguments regarding energetic ion’s behavior. Since fusion neutron signals are newly available, the deuterium plasma experiment can provide an important opportunity to enhance energetic-particle physics study in the LHD. The LHD is capable of producing fusion neutrons over 1×10^{16} (n/s), which is much higher than that observed in the small and medium class helical/stellarator devices. To extend energetic-particle physics study in the LHD, a comprehensive set of neutron diagnostics was prepared before the start of deuterium operation consisting of the neutron flux monitor (NFM), the neutron activation system (NAS), the vertical neutron camera (VNC), the neutron fluctuation diagnostic, and scintillating-fiber detectors for triton burnup. In this paper, hardware on neutron diagnostics prepared for the LHD deuterium operation is described. Also, representative measurement results obtained in the first deuterium campaign of the LHD are presented.

2. Neutron generation in the LHD deuterium plasma

LHD is the world largest-class helical device equipped with intense neutral beam heating facility of the 30 MW class. The first plasma was initiated in March 1998 with hydrogen gas and high β , high temperature, and steady-state operation capabilities have been demonstrated to date [41]. To explore achievable maximum performance of the LHD plasma, the deuterium operation began in March 2017. In a deuterium plasma, the following nuclear fusion reactions primarily occur.

$$d + d \rightarrow n (2.45 \text{ MeV}) + {}^3\text{He} (0.82 \text{ MeV}) \quad Q=3.27 \text{ MeV}$$

$$d + d \rightarrow p (3.02 \text{ MeV}) + t (1.01 \text{ MeV}) \quad Q=4.03 \text{ MeV}$$

Both d-d reactions take place almost equally. In addition to these two reactions, triton generated by d-d reaction will undergo secondary t-d reaction producing ${}^4\text{He}$ (3.56 MeV) and neutron (14.03 MeV) with background thermal deuterons. Tritons produced by d(d,p)t reaction are isotropic in the velocity space. Also, kinematic parameters of 1 MeV triton such as Larmor radius and precessional drift frequency are almost the same as those of d-t born alpha particles. Therefore, triton born in deuterium plasma has been often used in place of alpha particle.

The LHD is equipped with three negative-ion-source-based neutral beam (N-NB) injectors and two positive-ion-source-based neutral beam (P-NB) injectors as shown in Fig. 1. Energetic beam ion with injection energy E_b of about 180 keV is delivered by the three tangential N-NB injectors (#1, 2, and 3). The N-NB injection power in the first deuterium campaign was ~ 2 MW for each. Beam ions provided by the P-

NB injectors are perpendicularly injected and the total injection power was 9 MW with E_b of 60 keV to 80 keV. Beam ions delivered by those neutral beam (NB) injectors play an essential role in generating fusion neutron in the LHD. Prior to the start of deuterium operation, expected S_n in the LHD was calculated with velocity distribution function expressed by a steady-state Fokker-Planck equation. The calculation suggests that S_n will exceed 1×10^{16} n/s when full power NB heating is performed [30]. The maximum S_n expected in the LHD deuterium plasma is almost comparable with that recorded in the deuterium operation of large tokamaks, e.g., the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) [42] and the JAERI Tomakak-60U (JT-60U) [43].

3. Neutron diagnostics prepared for the LHD deuterium operation

To extend energetic-particle physics studies in the LHD, a comprehensive neutron diagnostic system was developed. The neutron diagnostics prepared for the first deuterium campaign of the LHD are listed in Table 1. The NFM is essential in the LHD operation in addition to physics purposes since annual neutron budget permitted by the Nuclear Regulation Authority of Japan is set to be 2.1×10^{19} . Therefore, the LHD cannot be operated without the NFM. Conceptual design of the LHD neutron diagnostics was performed before the initial operation of LHD [44]. Component technology test and/or development was initiated in around 2000 and a more concrete system was proposed subsequently [45]. As for the system development, we initiated development of a wide dynamic range NFM based on leading-edge digital technologies at the outset [46]. The NFM on LHD consists of three sets of two detectors as schematically depicted in Fig. 2(a). Each set has an ^{235}U fission chamber (FC), and a ^{10}B counter or a ^3He counter. The FC line can provide very wide dynamic range and fast-time response capabilities, and is designed so as to realize neutron pulse counting rate up to 5×10^9 cps. The FC line is responsible for middle- to high-neutron yield shots when NBs are injected. Other neutron counters can work for low-neutron yield shot such as electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) shot without NB injection. Parallel to the hardware development, numerous efforts by using the Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code (MCNP) have been made on characterizations of neutron field near the LHD, neutron detector response, estimation of necessary time for in situ calibration of the NFM, etc. [47-51]. Note that the wide dynamic NFM had been employed in the TFTR [52] and the JT-60U [53], consisting of both functions of pulse counting and Campelling modes jointly. However, these systems are based on traditional analogue technology and are no longer commercially available at the present time. Therefore, we needed to develop a new system based on new technologies. Prior to the deuterium operation of the LHD, in situ calibration of the NFM was carried out from November 7 to 18, 2016 using an intense ^{252}Cf spontaneous fission neutron source with radioactivity of about 800 MBq [54, 55] following the method standardized in Ref. 56. The operation range of ^{235}U FC, ^3He counter, and ^{10}B counter on the LHD are shown in Fig. 3. It is seen that the FC line can cover S_n over 10^{17} n/s. Because the maximum S_n in the LHD is expected to be $(1 \sim 2) \times 10^{16}$ n/s, the NFM developed for the LHD can cover the entire region of the expected S_n .

Neutron emission profile was measured with nuclear emulsion methods in the PLT for the first time in tori [57]. In the era of large tokamaks, neutron profile diagnostic has been regularly employed in the TFTR [58-60], the JET [61, 62], and the JT-60U [63], providing valuable information on beam ion profile and/or radiation transport of beam ions due to magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) instabilities [64-66]. In the LHD, high-performance VNC of eleven channels was installed. The overview of the LHD-VNC is schematically drawn in Fig. 2(b). This is the first neutron camera in helical/stellarator devices in the world. The stilbene scintillation detector was chosen as a fast-neutron detector in terms of brightness and good neutron (n)-gamma (γ)-ray discrimination capability through various detector tests at accelerator-type fusion neutron facilities. The LHD-VNC system is characterized by MHz range operation capability with automated n- γ -ray discrimination function based on a leading-edge field-programmable gate array technology [67] and negligibly small cross talk fraction [51, 68]. The neutron collimator is made of heavy concrete and is embedded in a hole in the concrete floor (2.0 m thick) of the torus hall. Radially aligned eleven stainless steel pipes (1.5 m long $\times$ 3 cm ϕ) are embedded in a heavy concrete as a path for birth neutrons. Detailed information of the LHD-VNC hardware are available in Refs. 68 and 69.

Triton burnup study is one of the primary physics subjects in the LHD deuterium project to demonstrate that confinement capability of energetic ion, in particular, MeV ion, is relevant to a fusion reactor plasma. The triton burnup ratio, which is defined as the ratio of secondary d-t neutron yield to primary d-d neutron yield, Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} has been examined in tokamaks, e.g., the Frascati Tokamak [70, 71], the JET [72, 73], the TFTR [74], the Doublet III-D [75], the JT-60U [76, 77], and the Korea Superconducting Tokamak Advanced Research (KSTAR) [78]. In this work, initial study on triton behavior was performed by measuring 14 MeV neutrons generated by secondary d-t reaction in a deuterium plasma with a neutron activation technique [79] and scintillating-fiber (Sci.-Fi.) detector [80-82]. In addition to these, neutron fluctuation detector (NFD) with a fast-neutron sensor consisting of ZnS:Ag phosphor embedded in a hydrogenous polymer matrix is installed to measure fast-neutron fluctuation with high time resolution [83]. The LHD deuterium operation has begun with a comprehensive set of neutron diagnostics listed in Table 1.

Table 1. A comprehensive set of neutron diagnostics prepared for the first deuterium campaign of the LHD

System	Diagnostic	Detector	Manufacturer (Model, Spec.) or Originator	Channel
NFM	Fusion output	^{235}U fission chamber	TETD* (KSA-01, 0.1 cps/nv)	3
	Time resolved total neutron emission rate	^{10}B counter	TETD* (E6863-558, 6.5 cps/nv)	1
		^3He counter	TETD* (E6862-500, 30 cps/nv)	2
NAS	Shot-integrated DD neutron yield	Indium disk	G-TECH (10 mm ϕ $\times$ 1mm t, purity 99.999%)	2
	Shot-integrated secondary DT neutron yield	Aluminum disk Silicon disk		
VNC	Time-resolved DD neutron profile	Stilbene scintillator	G-TECH (20 mm ϕ $\times$ 10 mm t)	11
NFD	DD neutron fluctuation	ZnS:Ag phosphor	G-TECH (EJ-410, 25 mm ϕ $\times$ 19 mm t)	2

		embedded in a hydrogenous polymer matrix		
Sci.-Fi.	Time resolved secondary DT neutron flux	Scintillating fiber	Los Alamos National Laboratory National Institute for Fusion Science National Institute of Technology, Toyama College	3

*TETD : Toshiba Electron Tube Device Co. Ltd.

4. Experimental results

4.1. Neutron emission rate and yield

Neutrons resulting from d-d fusion reactions have been observed in LHD deuterium plasmas. The first deuterium plasma was successfully initiated by ECRH without NB injection in March 7, 2017. The discharge waveform of the first deuterium plasma is shown in Fig. 4. In this shot, neutrons were generated by thermonuclear reactions due to finite fuel ion temperature since energetic ion was not present because of ECRH plasmas, and S_n was evaluated to be about 1×10^{11} n/s. As can be seen, the ^3He counter provides neutron pulse counting rate with good statistics because the sensitivity of ^3He counter to neutron is the highest among the three detectors whereas the FC signal is the weakest and is not effective for evaluating S_n precisely. As for ECRH plasmas, a central fuel deuteron temperature was derived from S_n measured with in situ calibrated NFM [84]. The analysis indicated that central fuel deuteron temperature ranged from 1 keV to 1.5 keV and was consistent with impurity ion temperature measured with spectroscopy.

The maximum S_n shot was explored by injecting all five NBs with scanning electron density (n_e) and magnetic configuration. The maximum S_n shot was achieved in an inward shifted configuration (R_{ax}/B_t of 3.55 m/2.87 T) that provides the longest energy confinement time and good confinement property of helically trapped energetic ions. The discharge waveforms are displayed in Fig. 5. In this particular shot, S_n reached 3.3×10^{15} n/s with the help of fueling deuterium pellet injection which was the record value of S_n in the first deuterium campaign of the LHD.

Next, S_n was systematically examined to understand the parameter dependence of S_n and to make a comparison with that predicted by simulations. Experimentally observed S_n as a function of line-averaged n_e is shown in Fig. 6. S_n plotted in Fig. 6 is the peak value for each discharge and line-averaged n_e is of the value when the maximum S_n is recorded. As can be seen, S_n increases as line-averaged n_e increases in the low- n_e region ($n_e < 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$). This is due to increase of beam deposition, and then S_n reaches the peak in around n_e of $(2-3) \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. S_n starts to decrease as n_e increases further because Spitzer slowing down time τ_s becomes shorter, and as a result, accumulation of beam ions is reduced in a plasma. This tendency agrees well with dependence of S_n on n_e predicted by the model based on velocity distribution function for beam ions described by the steady-state Fokker-Planck equation [30].

To confirm whether beam ions slow down classically without loss or not, deuterium beam blips were

injected into ECRH plasmas. The beam blip method has been widely employed in an early phase of deuterium operation of several tokamaks and the Compact Helical System [10-12, 26, 27]. In this case, we injected beam ions perpendicularly by using P-NB#4 since confinement characteristic of helically trapped energetic ions have been a great concern in helical devices. The plasma density was gradually varied in time in this shot to change τ_s for beam ions. As can be seen in Fig. 7, S_n increases immediately right after NB turn-on, and starts to decrease after NB turn-off with a finite decay time. Our interest is in whether perpendicularly injected beam ions decelerate classically without loss or not. Next, time evolution of S_n is calculated with the simple classical slowing-down model FBURN [85]. In this code, beam ions are assumed to be slowed down in each shell according to the classical energy loss theory based on Stix's formula [86]. The domain of plasma is divided into 120 circular shells and each volume is given according to the equilibrium reconstructed every 50 ms by VMEC2000 code [87]. Here, beam deposition profile is calculated using the HFREYA code [88]. Note that d-d fusion reactivity between a plasma and a beam ion is given in Ref. 89. As can be seen in Fig. 7, the neutron decay rate following NB turn-off evaluated by the FBURN code agrees relatively well with experimentally measured neutron decay rate in line-averaged n_e around $2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. It tells us that perpendicularly injected beam ions decelerate classically without significant loss in this n_e region. Note that there is a discrepancy between measured and calculated decays in lower n_e ($n_e \sim 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) discharges characterized by a longer τ_s for beam ions, suggesting significant loss of perpendicularly injected beam ions in the low- n_e regime.

4.2. Neutron emission profile

A radial profile of neutron emission rate is of inherent interest in nuclear fusion experiments. In the LHD, the line-integrated neutron emission profile has been successfully measured in the first deuterium campaign by using the VNC. At the beginning of commissioning phase, we performed R_{ax} scanning experiments in the high field operation and verified the response of line-integrated neutron emission profile. The neutron emission profile was reasonably varied, i.e., the neutron profile shifted outwardly as the plasma column was shifted outwardly, and vice versa according to the R_{ax} position [69]. Next, we measured neutron emission profiles in two different B_t at the same R_{ax} position. In this shot, N-NB#1 and N-NB#3 were tangentially coinjected with total P_{N-NB} of 4 MW. Two radial profiles of line-integrated neutron pulse counting rate at the outboard side in B_t of 1.375 T and 2.75 T at R_{ax} of 3.6 m are shown in Fig. 8. The neutron pulse counting rate is centrally peaked in the case of B_t of 2.75 T whereas the neutron profile is relatively flat in the case of B_t of 1.375 T. This is because drift surfaces of co-going beam ions largely deviate from magnetic flux surfaces to the outboard side of the plasma in B_t of 1.375 T compared with the deviation of co-going beam ions in B_t of 2.75 T.

Two radial profiles of line-integrated neutron pulse counting rate with different injection angles of NB are shown in Fig. 9. One is the profile when the N-NB#3 of which E_b and P_{NB} are 164 keV and 1.8 MW,

respectively, is tangentially co-injected. The other is the profile when the P-NB#4 of which E_b and P_{NB} are 45 keV and 4.3 MW, and the P-NB#5 of which E_b and P_{NB} are 69 keV and 6.7 MW, respectively, is perpendicularly injected. In both discharges, n_e is set to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. As can be seen in Fig. 9, clear difference between the two neutron emission profiles can be recognized. In the case of tangential beam injection, the line-integrated neutron pulse counting rate is centrally peaked and decreases monotonically towards the peripheral domain. On the other hand, steep cliff can be recognized in the neutron emission profile in the case of perpendicular beam injection. This difference can be reasonably understood in the difference of orbit topologies in the Cartesian coordinates between co-going transit beam ions created by tangential NB injection and helically trapped beam ions generated by perpendicular NB injection [90].

Resistive interchange mode destabilized by helically trapped energetic ions often appear while two P-NBs are perpendicularly injected into relatively low- n_e inward shifted plasma at high B_t . This mode occurs recurrently and is accompanied by a rapid frequency downshift. The mode is classified into energetic-particle continuum mode in the broad sense and is called energetic-ion-driven-interchange (EIC) mode [91,92]. Note that in the hydrogen experiment phase, observations related to radial transport and/or expulsion of beam ions were fairly limited. Only indirect observation such as rapid change of potential to negative, and increase of charge-exchanged fast neutrals was observed in the hydrogen phase. After the deuterium operation began, neutron signal became newly available, giving more direct information of global behavior of energetic ions while the EICs are excited. The NFM have indicated that effect of the EIC burst on S_n is significant and S_n largely drops due to the EIC burst, by about 50% occasionally [93]. Fig. 10 shows change of neutron emission profile while the recurrent EIC modes are destabilized. In this shot, two perpendicular NBs are injected into the plasma with n_e of $1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. As can be seen, drop of line-integrated neutron pulse counting rate measured at the core channel is drastic whereas change of neutron emission rate is not visible in the peripheral chord. This observation tells us that helically-trapped beam ions are expelled due to the EICs since helically-trapped beam ions are localized in a valley of two helical winding coils and confinement domain is just above the vicinity of central chord.

4.3. Triton burnup

The triton burnup experiment has been positioned as alpha particle simulation experiment in tokamaks. In deuterium plasmas of the LHD, secondary d-t neutron fluxes have been successfully measured by using the NAS and the Sci.-Fi. detector for the first time in helical/stellarator devices. Two time evolutions of S_n measured with the NFM and secondary d-t neutron emission rate resulting from triton burnup evaluated with the Sci.-Fi. detector are shown in Fig.11. The Sci.-Fi. detector is calibrated through the NAS measurement. In this shot, three N-NBs are injected simultaneously into the plasma with n_e of $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ at R_{ax}/B_t of 3.6 m/2.65 T. It can be seen that the buildup of 14 MeV neutron emission rate is slower than that of total neutron emission since the birth energy of triton is fairly high and it takes time to reach maximum d-t fusion reaction

probability through their slowing down process. Two primary decay components are seen on the fission chamber signal after NBs are terminated. The second longer decay in the low neutron emissivity phase can be interpreted as the decay of triton burnup 14 MeV neutron flux. The neutron decay rate provided by the Sci.-Fi. detector matches that measured with the NFM. It can be also seen in Fig. 11 that the triton burnup ratio, i.e. Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} is lower than 1 % in this shot. Our interest is in dependence of Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} on magnetic field configuration since confinement of helically-trapped energetic ions is expected to be good in an inward shifted configuration because of so-called “ σ -optimization” [94], whereas confinement of helically-trapped energetic ions is predicted to be poor in an outward shifted configuration [95]. Therefore, we performed R_{ax} scanning experiment for triton burnup to verify the theoretical prediction. Experimentally evaluated Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} as a function of R_{ax} is shown in Fig. 12. Data points taken in n_e range from $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ to $5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ are plotted. The inward shifted configuration at R_{ax}/B_t of 3.55 m/2.89 T gives the highest Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} of ~ 0.45 % and Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} decreases as a plasma column is shifted outwardly as expected in Ref. 95. The highest value of Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} recorded in the first deuterium campaign of the LHD is almost the same as that obtained in tokamak having a minor radius similar to that of the LHD. In the case of half B_t , Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} is significantly reduced as seen in Fig.12. This is probably due to effects of larger Larmor radii of energetic tritons and significant deviation of triton’s orbit from magnetic flux surfaces. Prior to the deuterium experiment, confinement property of 1 MeV tritons was investigated by using the Global NEoclassical Transport (GNET) code. The GNET code suggests that particle and/or energy loss fraction in the inward shifted configuration is lower than that in the outward shifted configuration [96]. The tendency of measured Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} on R_{ax} position is consistent with that predicted by the GNET code in terms of the particle and/or energy loss fraction. Further detailed calculation on the triton burnup is being performed by using the GNET code to predict the magnetic configuration and plasma parameters that can potentially provide the highest Y_{DT}/Y_{DD} in LHD deuterium plasmas.

5. Summary

The LHD project has stepped into a new stage. The first deuterium plasma was obtained in March 7, 2017, after long-term preparation to execute the deuterium operation. A comprehensive set of neutron diagnostics has been installed onto the LHD to extend energetic-particle physics studies in the LHD plasma characterized by three-dimensional magnetic configuration. The NFM on the LHD is based on leading-edge digital technologies, providing the maximum neutron pulse counting rate up to 5×10^9 cps. Prior to the deuterium operation, in situ calibration of the NFM was performed by using an intense ^{252}Cf of ~ 800 MBq. In the first deuterium campaign, S_n reached $3.3 \times 10^{15} \text{ n/s}$ in the inwardly shifted configuration at R_{ax} of 3.55 m. The dependence of S_n on n_e is consistent with that predicted by the simple model calculation. Perpendicular beam blip experiments suggest that confinement of helically trapped beam ions can be explained by the classical slowing down model in high n_e ($> 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) range, whereas loss of helically-trapped beam ions is visible

in low n_e ($\sim 1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) range. The radial profile of DD neutron emission rate has been measured with the wide dynamic range VNC equipped with online n- γ discrimination capability for the first time in helical/stellarator devices. The line-integrated neutron emission profile has reasonably changed according to R_{ax} position. Correlated with the EIC burst, significant drop of neutron counting rate in the vicinity of central chord was observed, suggesting expulsion of helically-trapped beam ions due to the EIC. We also performed triton burnup study through secondary d-t neutron measurement by using the NAS and the Sci.-Fi. detector. The maximum triton burnup rate in the first deuterium campaign was $\sim 0.45\%$ and was recorded in the inward shifted plasma. The burnup ratio decreases as a plasma column is shifted outwardly, as expected.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Director General of the National Institute of Fusion Science Professor Yasuhiko Takeiri for his continuous encouragement to realize the LHD deuterium experiment. The authors also wish to thank the LHD deuterium experiment preparation group for the enormous effort and great work done in realizing the deuterium experiment. The continuous effort by the LHD-NBI team for optimizing the NBI operation in deuterium is greatly acknowledged. This work is supported by the LHD project budgets ULGG801, ULHH003, and ULHH034.

References

- [1] Lehner G, and Pohl F. 1967 Z. Physik **207** 83.
- [2] Brysk H. 1973 Plasma Physics **15** 611.
- [3] Bol K. *et al* 1974 Phys. Rev. Lett. **32** 661.
- [4] EQUIPE TFR 1976 Nucl. Fusion **16** 279.
- [5] Fisher W.A., Chen S.H., Gwinn D., and Parker R.R. 1983 Phys. Rev. A **28** 3121.
- [6] Jarvis O.N., Gorini G., Hone M., Källne J., Sadler G., Merlo V., van Belle P. 1986 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **57** 1717.
- [7] Jassby D.L. 1975 Phys. Lett. **55A** 225.
- [8] Jassby D.L. 1977 Nucl. Fusion **17** 309.
- [9] Strachan J.D *et al* 1981 Nucl. Fusion **21** 67.
- [10] Heidbrink W.W. Kim Jinchoon, and Groebner R.J. 1988 Nucl. Fusion **28** 1897.
- [11] Heidbrink W.W. *et al* 1990 Phys. Fluids B **3** 3167.
- [12] Tobita K., Tani K., Nishitani T., Nagashima K., and Kusama Y. 1994 Nucl. Fusion **34** 1097.
- [13] McGuire K. *et al* 1983 Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** 891.
- [14] Sadler G.J., Conroy S.W., Jarvis O.N., Adams J.M., and Hone M.A. 1990 Fusion Technol. **18** 556.
- [15] Heidbrink W.W. and Sadler G.J. 1994 Nucl. Fusion **34** 535.
- [16] Jarvis O.N. 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **36** 209.

- [17] Wolle B. 1999 Phys. Rep. **312** 1.
- [18] Kiptily V.G., Cecil F.E., and Medley S.S. 2006 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **48** R59.
- [19] Krasilnikov A.V *et al* 2005 Nuclear Fusion **45** 1503.
- [20] Sasao M., Nishitani T., Krasilnikov A., Popovichev S., Kiptily V.G., and Källne J. 2008 Fus. Sci. Technol. **53** 604.
- [21] Bertalot L. *et al* 2012 JINST **7** C04012.
- [22] Weller A., and Maaßberg 1985 Report No. **IPP 2/278**, Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik.
- [23] Besshou S., Motojima O., Sato M., Sano F., Obiki T., Iiyoshi A., and Uo K. 1985 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A237** 590.
- [24] Iki E. *et al* 1997 Fus. Eng. Des. **34-35** 603.
- [25] Wade M.R., Thomas C.E., Colchin R.J., Rome J.A., England A.C., Fowler R.H. 1995 Nucl. Fusion **35** 1029.
- [26] Isobe M., Sasao M., Osakabe M., Fujita J., Okamura S., Kumazawa R., Minami T., Matsuoka K., and Takahashi C. 1997 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68** 532.
- [27] Isobe M., Sasao M., Okamura S., Osakabe M., Kubo S., Minami T., Matsuoka K., Takahashi C., and CHS Group 1998 J. Plasma Fusion Res. SERIES **1** 366.
- [28] Wolle B., Weller A., Schill S., Gadelmeier F., Baloui T., and Beikert G. 1999 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **70** 1197.
- [29] Rust N., Reimbold S., Hübner K., Gadelmeier F., Penningsfeld F.P., and Weller A. 2000 27th EPS Conference on Contr. Fusion and Plasma Phys. Budapest, 12-16 June 2000 ECA Vol. **24B** 1621.
- [30] Osakabe M. *et al* 2017 Fus. Sci. Technol. **72** 199.
- [31] Saida T. *et al* 2004 Nucl. Fusion **44** 488.
- [32] Osakabe M. *et al* 2010 Fus. Sci. Technol. **58** 131.
- [33] Ogawa K. *et al* 2012 Plasma Fus. Res. **7** 2402014.
- [34] Ogawa K. *et al* 2012 Nucl. Fusion **52** 094013.
- [35] Ogawa K. *et al* 2012 Plasma Sci. Technol. **14** 269.
- [36] Ogawa K. *et al* 2013 Nucl. Fusion **53** 053012.
- [37] Isobe M, Ogawa K., Toi K., Osakabe M., Nagaoka K., Shimizu A., Spong D.A., Okamura S., CHS, and LHD Experiment Group 2010 Contrib. Plasma Phys. **50** 540.
- [38] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Toi K., Watanabe F., Spong D.A., Shimizu A., Osakabe M., Ohdachi S., Sakakibara S., and LHD Experiment Group 2010 Nucl. Fusion **50** 084005.
- [39] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Toi K., Shimizu A., Osakabe M., and LHD Experiment Group 2014 Plasma Fus. Res. **9** 3402097.
- [40] Isobe M. *et al* 2010 Fus. Sci. Technol. **58** 426.
- [41] Takeiri Y. *et al* 2017 Nucl. Fusion **57** 102023.
- [42] Jassby D.L. *et al* 1991 Phys. Fluids B **3** 2308.

- [43] Nishitani T. *et al* 1994 Nucl. Fusion **34** 1069.
- [44] Sasao M., Isobe M., Osakabe M., Taniike A., Iguchi T., Takada E., Iida T., and Wada M. 1997 Fus. Eng. Des. **34-35** 595.
- [45] Isobe M. *et al* 2010 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **81** 10D310.
- [46] Isobe M. *et al* 2014 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85** 11E114.
- [47] Nishio N., Yamamoto S., Watanabe K., Uritani A., Isobe M., and Yamanishi H. 2010 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **81** 10D306.
- [48] Nishio N., Yamazaki A., Watanabe K., Uritani A., Isobe M., and Yamanishi H. 2011 Plasma Fus. Res. **6** 2405115.
- [49] Nakano Y., Yamazaki A., Watanabe K., Uritani A., Ogawa K., and Isobe M. 2014 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85** 11E116.
- [50] Nakano Y., Yamazaki A., Watanabe K., Uritani A., Ogawa K., and Isobe M. 2014 Plasma Fus. Res. **9** 3405141.
- [51] Nishitani T., Ogawa K., and Isobe M. 2017 Fus. Eng. Des. **123** 1020.
- [52] England A.C., Hendel H.W., and Nieschmidt E.B 1986 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **57** 1754.
- [53] Nishitani T. 1992 Kakuyugo kenkyu **68** 6 (in Japanese).
- [54] Isobe M. *et al* submitted to IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science.
- [55] Nishitani T. *et al* 2018 Fus. Eng. Des. in press.
- [56] Strachan J.D. *et al* 1990 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **61** 3501.
- [57] Strachan J.D. Bhattacharjee A., Jassby D.L., and Towner H.H. 1978 Phys. Lett. **66A** 295.
- [58] Hendel H.W., Ku L.P., Long D.C., Nieschmidt E.B., Strachan J.D. 1985 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **56** 1081.
- [59] Roquemore A.L., Chouinard R.C., Diesso M., Palladino R., Strachan J.D. 1990 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **61** 3163.
- [60] Johnson L.C. 1992 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **63** 4517.
- [61] Adams J.M., Jarvis O.N., Sadler G.J., Syme D.B., and Watkins N. 1993 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **329** 277.
- [62] Jarvis O.N., Adams J.M., Marcus F.B., and Sadler G.J. 1997 Fus. Eng. Des. **34-35** 59.
- [63] Ishikawa M., Nishitani T., Morioka M., Takechi M., Shinohara K., Shimada M., Miura Y., Nagami M., Kaschuk Yu. A. 2002 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **73** 4237.
- [64] Marcus F.B., Adams J.M., Bond D.S., Hone M.A., Howath P.J.A., Jarvis O.N., Loughlin M.J., Sadler G.J., van Belle P., and Watkins N. 1994 Nucl. Fusion **34** 687.
- [65] Roquemore A.L., Bitter M., Johnson L.C., and von Goeler S. 1997 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **68** 544.
- [66] Ishikawa M. *et al* 2007 Nucl. Fusion **47** 849.
- [67] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Takada E., Uchida Y., Ochiai K., Tomita H., Uritani A., Kobuchi T., and Takeiri Y. 2014 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85** 11E110.
- [68] Kawase H., Ogawa K., Nishitani T., Pu N., and Isobe M. submitted to IEEE Transactions on Plasma

Science.

- [69] Kawase H., Ogawa K., Nishitani T., Pu N., Murakami S., and Isobe M. submitted to Plasma Fusion Research.
- [70] Batistoni P., Martone M., Pillon M., Podda S., Rapisarda M. 1987 Nucl. Fusion **27** 1040.
- [71] Pillon M., and Vannucci A. 1987 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **255** 188.
- [72] Conroy S., Jarvis O.N., Sadler G., Huxtable G.B. 1988 Nucl. Fusion **28** 2127.
- [73] Nemtsev G., Amosov V., Meshchaninov S., Popovichev S., Rodionov R., and JET Contributors 2016 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87** 11D835.
- [74] Barnes C.W. *et al* 1998 Nucl. Fusion **38** 597.
- [75] Duong H.H., and Heidrink W.W. 1993 Nucl. Fusion **33** 211.
- [76] Nishitani T., Hoek M., Harano H., Isobe M., Tobita K., Kusama Y., Wurden G.A., and Chrien R.E 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **38** 355.
- [77] Hoek M., Nishitani T. Carlsson M., and Carlsson T. 1996 Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **368** 804.
- [78] Jo Jungmin, Cheon MunSeong, Kim Jun Young, Rhee T., Kim Junghee, Shi Yue-Jiang, Isobe M., Ogawa K., Chung Kyoung-Jae, Hwang Y.S. 2106 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **87** 11D828.
- [79] Pu N., Nishitani T., Isobe M., Ogawa K., Kawase H., Tanaka T., Li S.Y., Yoshihashi Y., and Uritani A. 2017 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **88** 113302.
- [80] Wurden G.A., Chrien R.E., Barnes Cris W., Sailor W.C., Roquemore A.L., Lavelle M.J., O’Gara P.M., and Jordan R.J. 1995 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **66** 901.
- [81] Sailor W.C., Barnes Cris W., Chrien R.E., and Wurden G.A. 1995 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **66** 898.
- [82] Nishitani T., Hoek M., Harano H., Isobe M., Tobita K., Kusama Y., Wurden G.A., and Chrien R.E. 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **38** 355.
- [83] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Kawase H., Nishitani T., Pu. N., and LHD Experiment Group submitted to Plasma and Fusion Research.
- [84] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Nishitani T., Kawase H., Pu N., and LHD Experiment Group 2017 Plasma and Fus. Res. **12** 1202036.
- [85] Ogawa K., Isobe M., Nishitani T., Murakami S., Seki R., Nakata M., Takada E., Kawse H., Pu N., and LHD Experiment Group 2108 Nuclear Fusion **58** 034002.
- [86] Batistoni P., and Barnes C.W. 1991 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **33** 1735.
- [87] Hirshman S. P., and Betancourt O. 1991 J. Comput. Phys. **96** 99.
- [88] Murakami S., Nakajima N., and Okamoto M. 1995 Trans. Fusion Technol. **27** 256.
- [89] Mikkelsen D.R. 1989 Nucl. Fusion **29** 1113.
- [90] Watanabe T. *et al* 2006 Nucl. Fusion **46** 291.
- [91] Du X.D. *et al* 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. **114** 155003.
- [92] Du X.D. *et al* 2016 Nucl. Fusion **56** 016002.

- [93] Bando T. *et al* “*Excitation of helically-trapped-energetic-ion driven resistive interchange modes with intense deuterium beam injection and enhanced effect on beam ions/bulk plasmas of LHD*”, submitted to Nucl. Fusion.
- [94] Mynick H.E., Chu T.K., and Boozer A.H. 1982 Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** 322.
- [95] Murakami S. *et al* 2002 J. Plasma Fusion Res. SERIES **5** 620.
- [96] Homma M., Murakami S., Isobe M., Tomita H., and Ogawa K. 2015 Plasma and Fus. Res. **10** 3403050.

Fig. 1 Arrangement of five neutral beam injectors on the LHD. The N-NBI represents negative-ion-source-based neutral beam injector with E_b of 180 keV. The P-NBI stands for positive-ion-source-based neutral beam injector with E_b of 60 keV to 80 keV.

Fig. 2 Primary neutron diagnostic system on the LHD. a) wide dynamic range neutron flux monitor having fast time response, and b) vertical neutron camera capable of operating in MHz range with automated neutron and gamma-ray discrimination capability. In addition to these, neutron activation system having two irradiation ends at vertically and horizontally elongated poloidal cross sections, neutron fluctuation detectors, and scintillating-fiber detectors for triton burnup study are installed.

Fig. 3 Operation range of ^{235}U fission chamber, ^3He counter, and ^{10}B counter on the LHD. Here, MSVL, MSVM, and MSVH represent mean square root voltage (MSV) for low neutron rate, middle neutron rate, and high neutron rate ranges, respectively. The operation range overlapped by two neighboring modes is described by using “-”.

Fig. 4 Waveforms of first deuterium plasma of the LHD. The plasma was initiated and sustained by ECRH with P_{ECRH} of 4.8 MW.

Fig. 5 Waveforms of the discharge where the maximum total neutron emission rate was recorded in the first deuterium campaign of the LHD. The total neutron emission rate reached 3.3×10^{15} n/s in this shot. P_{N-NB} and P_{P-NB} were 5.9 MW and 19 MW, respectively.

Fig. 6 Maximum total neutron emission rate as a function of line-averaged electron density in R_{ax}/B_t of 3.55 m/2.89 T. P_{N-NB} and P_{P-NB} were about 6 MW and 18 MW, respectively.

Fig. 7 Time evolutions of discharge when perpendicular beam blip is injected by using P-NB#4 ($P_{P-NB} \sim 7$ MW) into ECRH ($P_{ECRH} \sim 2.5$ MW)-sustained plasma. Line-averaged n_e is gradually increased from line-averaged n_e of $1.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ at $t=4.4$ s to line-averaged n_e of $2.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ at $t=5.4$ s.

Fig. 8 Two radial profiles of line-integrated neutron pulse counting rates in the two different B_t , i.e., 1.375 T and 2.75 T at R_{ax} of 3.6 m when two neutral beams, i.e. N-NB#1 and #3 are tangentially coinjected into the plasma of line-averaged $n_e = 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$.

Fig. 9 Radial profiles of line-integrated neutron pulse counting rate. a) profile when N-NB#3 ($P_{N-NB}=1.8$ MW) is tangentially injected in R_{ax}/B_t of 3.55 m/2.78 T, b) profile when P-NB#4 ($P_{P-NB}=4.3$ MW) and P-NB#5 ($P_{P-NB}=6.7$ MW) are perpendicularly injected in R_{ax}/B_t of 3.6 m/2.75 T. Line-averaged n_e is set to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ for both discharges.

Fig. 10 Significant change of neutron emission profile while MHD instabilities driven by helically trapped energetic beam ions are delivered by perpendicular P-NB#4 and #5 in R_{ax}/B_t of 3.6 m/2.75 T. Line-averaged n_e was $1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ in this shot. Here, black solid line represents time evolution of amplitude of MHD bursts in arbitrary unit.

Fig. 11 Time evolutions of total neutron emission rates measured with the NFM and secondary d-t neutron emission rate evaluated with the Sci.-Fi. detector calibrated by the NAS. Total $P_{\text{N-NB}}$ is 5.8 MW, and line-averaged n_e is about $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ in this shot.

Fig. 12 Dependence of triton burnup ratio on magnetic field configuration in the LHD. Line-averaged n_e ranges from $1 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ to $4 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. Full power NB heating is performed in these shots.