Nondissipative kinetic simulation and analytical solution of three-mode equations of the ion temperature gradient instability

journal or publication title	Physics of Plasmas
volume	Vol.7
number	Issue 3
page range	pp.984-990
year	2000-03-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10655/2282

doi: 10.1063/1.873897

Nondissipative kinetic simulation and analytical solution of three-mode equations of the ion temperature gradient instability

T.-H. Watanabe, H. Sugama, and T. Sato
National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan

(Received 23 August 1999; accepted 29 November 1999)

A nondissipative drift kinetic simulation scheme, which rigorously satisfies the time-reversibility, is applied to the three-mode coupling problem of the ion temperature gradient (ITG) instability. It is found from the simulation that the three-mode ITG system repeats growth and decay with a period which shows a logarithmic divergence for infinitesimal initial perturbations. Accordingly, time average of the mode amplitude vanishes, as the initial amplitude approaches zero. An exact solution is analytically given for a class of initial conditions. An excellent agreement is confirmed between the analytical solution and numerical results. The results obtained here provide a useful reference for basic benchmarking of theories and simulations of the ITG modes. © 2000 American Institute of Physics. [S1070-664X(00)02003-6]

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the anomalous heat transport mechanism in high-temperature plasmas has been a central subject in magnetic confinement fusion studies. The ion temperature gradient (ITG) instability^{1,2} is widely recognized as one of the candidates for the cause of the anomalous ion thermal transport in the core of tokamaks. Many first-principle simulations, as well as theoretical predictions, have been carried out on ITG turbulence transport. Among them, the gyrokinetic³⁻⁶ and gyrofluid⁷⁻¹⁰ simulations have largely contributed to development of transport modeling in the last decade. However, they have a discrepancy in the ion thermal diffusivity by a factor of two or more.^{5,11} Since the reason for the difference between the two methods has not yet been completely understood, simple nonlinear problems, for which reliable solutions with sufficient accuracy are established, are preferable for benchmark studies of the numerical schemes.

The three-mode coupling of the ITG mode,³ as well as the drift waves,¹²⁻¹⁴ which is represented by a reduced set of the drift kinetic equation in a two-dimensional slab geometry, has been used to explain qualitatively a nonlinear saturation mechanism in the gyrokinetic simulation.³ The three-mode system has also been examined in comparison of the gyrokinetic and gyrofluid simulations¹¹ and in a test of a nonlinear kinetic fluid closure method.¹⁵ In the pioneering work by Lee *et al.*,¹² they derived a nonlinear dispersion relation of the three-mode drift waves. However, so far, no exact solution of the three-mode coupling equations has been obtained analytically, except for a steady state solution with the Maxwellian velocity distribution.¹⁴ The three-mode ITG and drift wave systems have also been studied by means of Eulerian drift kinetic (Vlasov) simulations.¹²⁻¹⁵ The Vlasov simulation results, however, seem to suffer from numerical dissipation, because a dissipative integrator such as the predictor-corrector is employed for the collisionless drift kinetic equation. Therefore, it would be meaningful to develop a nondissipative Vlasov simulation method, and to find nu-

merical and analytical solutions of the three-mode equations. Results of the present study give a useful reference for benchmarking of various simulations and theories which are employed to investigate more complicated systems.

The remainder of the present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we will analytically derive an exact solution for a certain class of initial conditions from the three-mode ITG equations. Section III gives the numerical simulation results of the three-mode coupling of the ITG modes, where a newly developed drift kinetic simulation method is also briefly explained. Comparisons of the numerical and theoretical results are also presented in Sec. III. Finally, we summarize the results in Sec. IV.

II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND ANALYTICAL SOLUTIONS

A. Model configuration

We start from the electrostatic drift kinetic equation in a slab geometry,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v \nabla_{\parallel} f + \mathbf{v}_{E \times B} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{q}{m} E_{\parallel} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where the long wavelength limit ($k_{\perp} \rho_i \ll 1$) is assumed, and $\mathbf{v}_{E \times B} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} / B^2$. v is the parallel velocity. Here, we consider the same model as that used in comparison of the gyrokinetic and the gyrofluid simulations¹¹ except for truncation of the distribution function and a $\pi/2$ phase difference in x (direction of density and temperature gradients). The same model was also used by Mattor and Parker for examining a nonlinear kinetic fluid closure.¹⁵ A rectangular domain of $L_x \times L_y$ is considered in the x - y plane with a uniform external magnetic field perpendicular to the x -axis. Neglecting the parallel nonlinearity and expanding density and temperature scale length, L_n and L_T , of an assumed Maxwellian background, $F_M(v)$, the drift kinetic equation for ions, Eq. (1), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{f} + \Theta v \partial_y \tilde{f} - (\partial_y \phi \partial_x \tilde{f} - \partial_x \phi \partial_y \tilde{f}) \\ = -\partial_y \phi [1 + (v^2 - 1) \eta_i / 2 + \Theta v] F_M(v), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{f}$ denotes a perturbed distribution function normalized by $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}' L_n v_{ti} / \rho_i n_0$. Prime means a dimensional quantity. v_{ti} , ρ_i , and n_0 are the ion thermal velocity, the ion gyroradius, and the background plasma density. Θ is defined as $\Theta = \theta L_n / \rho_i$, where an inclination of the magnetic field $\theta \ll 1$ is assumed. Other quantities are normalized as $x = x' / \rho_i$, $y = y' / \rho_i$, $v = v' / v_{ti}$, $t = t' v_{ti} / L_n$, $\eta_i = L_n / L_T$, and $\phi = e \phi' L_n / T_i \rho_i$ with the elementary charge e and the background ion temperature T_i ($= m_i v_{ti}^2$; m_i means the ion mass). We have taken $T_i = T_e$ throughout this paper. We also assume the adiabatic electron response and the quasineutrality. Thus,

$$\int \tilde{f} dv = \phi. \quad (3)$$

We employ the periodic boundary conditions in both x and y directions. Then, $\tilde{f}$ and ϕ can be written as

$$\tilde{f}(x, y, v, t) = \sum_{m, n} \tilde{f}_{m, n}(v, t) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\phi(x, y, t) = \sum_{m, n} \phi_{m, n}(t) e^{i(k_x x + k_y y)}, \quad (5)$$

where $k_x = 2\pi m / L_x$ and $k_y = 2\pi n / L_y$ for $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. We also take $L_x = L_y$. For studying the three-mode coupling, we only keep $(m, n) = (\pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $(\pm 2, 0)$ modes with the following symmetry conditions of $\tilde{f}_{1,1} = \tilde{f}_{-1,1} = \tilde{f}_{1,-1}^* = \tilde{f}_{-1,-1}^*$ and $\tilde{f}_{2,0} = \tilde{f}_{-2,0}^*$. Also, $\text{Re}(\tilde{f}_{2,0}) = 0$.

B. Three-mode equations and linear solution

We derive exact nonlinear solutions of the three-mode problem of the ITG modes. Hereafter, in Sec. II, $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$, $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}_{2,0})$, and $\phi_{1,1}$ are, respectively, denoted by f , h , and ϕ for simplicity. From Eqs. (2) and (3) the three-mode ITG system is described by³

$$(\partial_t + ik\Theta v)f(v, t) + 2ik^2\phi(t)h(v, t) = -ik\phi(t)G(v), \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_t h(v, t) = 4k^2 \text{Im}[\phi^*(t)f(v, t)], \quad (7)$$

$$\phi(t) = \int dv f(v, t), \quad (8)$$

where f and ϕ are complex-valued while h is real-valued. $G(v)$ is defined as

$$G(v) \equiv \left[1 + \frac{\eta_i}{2}(v^2 - 1) + \Theta v \right] F_M(v). \quad (9)$$

We easily find

$$\partial_t \left[|f(v, t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} h^2(v, t) + \frac{G(v)}{2k} h(v, t) \right] = 0. \quad (10)$$

The linearized version of the three-mode equations has a linear solution of the form $[f(v, t), h(v, t), \phi(t)] = [f_L(v), h_L(v), \phi_L] \exp(-i\omega t)$. Here, the linear eigenfunctions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_L(v) &\equiv f_{Lr}(v) + i f_{Li}(v) \\ &\equiv \frac{kG(v)}{(\omega_r - k\Theta v) + i\gamma} \\ &\equiv \frac{kG(v)[(\omega_r - k\Theta v) - i\gamma]}{(\omega_r - k\Theta v)^2 + \gamma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$h_L(v) \equiv 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_L \equiv 1 \text{ (normalization)}, \quad (12)$$

and the complex eigenfrequency $\omega = \omega_r + i\gamma$ is determined by the dispersion relation

$$\int dv f_L(v) \equiv \int dv \frac{kG(v)}{(\omega_r - k\Theta v) + i\gamma} = 1, \quad (13)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is assumed.

C. Nonlinear solution

Now, let us consider a certain class of exact solutions of the nonlinear three-mode ITG equations, which are written in terms of the real and imaginary parts of the eigenfunction $f_L(v)$ and the real eigenfrequency ω_r as

$$\begin{aligned} f(v, t) &= [a(t)f_{Lr}(v) + ib(t)f_{Li}(v)] \exp(-i\omega_r t), \\ h(v, t) &= c(t)f_{Li}(v), \\ \phi(t) &= a(t) \exp(-i\omega_r t), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $a(t)$, $b(t)$, and $c(t)$ are real-valued functions of the time t . The linear solution given by Eqs. (11)–(13) corresponds to the case in which $a(t) = b(t) \propto \exp(\gamma t)$ and $c(t) = 0$. Substituting these into Eqs. (6)–(8) and using Eq. (11), we obtain the ordinary differential equations for $[a(t), b(t), c(t)]$,

$$\begin{aligned} da/dt &= \gamma b, \\ db/dt &= \gamma a - 2k^2 ac, \\ dc/dt &= 4k^2 ab. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

These equations have two types of stationary solutions, which are written as

$$(a, b, c) = (0, 0, c_s) \quad \text{and} \quad (a, b, c) = (a_s, 0, \gamma/2k^2), \quad (16)$$

respectively, where a_s and c_s are arbitrary constants. The former solution in Eq. (16) is the c -axis, and the latter one is parallel to the a -axis. From Eq. (15), we easily find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(c - \frac{2k^2}{\gamma} a^2 \right) = 0, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(b^2 + \frac{1}{2} c^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2k^2} c \right) = 0. \quad (18)$$

Also, combining these two equations, we have

FIG. 1. An orbit of the exact solution for $\Theta=1$, $k=0.1$, and $\eta_i=10$ in the (a,b,c) -phase space shown by the intersection of parabolic and elliptic column surfaces which is also on a spheroid.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(a^2 + b^2 + \frac{1}{2} c^2 - \frac{\gamma}{k^2} c \right) = 0, \quad (19)$$

which corresponds to Eq. (10). Thus, as shown in Fig. 1, the orbit of the solution in the (a,b,c) -phase space is given by the intersection between the two surfaces, which are written as

$$c - \frac{2k^2}{\gamma} a^2 = C_1, \quad (20)$$

and

$$b^2 + \frac{1}{2} c^2 - \frac{\gamma}{2k^2} c = C_2, \quad (21)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants. The orbit is also on the spheroid surface,

$$a^2 + b^2 + \frac{1}{2} c^2 - \frac{\gamma}{k^2} c = C_2 - \frac{\gamma}{2k^2} C_1. \quad (22)$$

We also note that the stationary solutions $(a_s, 0, \gamma/2k^2)$ with varying a_s form the central axis of the elliptic column given by Eq. (21), which is also shown in Fig. 2.

Using Eqs. (20)–(22), the solution of Eq. (15) for the initial condition $(a, b, c)_{t=0} = (a_0, b_0, c_0)$ can be obtained by

$$b^2 = b_0^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2k^2} (c - c_0) - \frac{1}{2} (c^2 - c_0^2), \quad (23)$$

$$c = c_0 + \frac{2k^2}{\gamma} (a^2 - a_0^2), \quad (24)$$

and

$$a(t) = \begin{cases} \beta \operatorname{dn}[\operatorname{dn}^{-1}(a_0/\beta) - (\sqrt{\alpha}/\beta) \gamma t] & \text{for } r > 0 \\ \beta \operatorname{cn}[\operatorname{cn}^{-1}(a_0/\beta) - (\sqrt{\alpha}/\beta) \gamma t] & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (25)$$

FIG. 2. A separatrix surface of $r=0$ for the same parameters as Fig. 1 with stationary solutions of $(0,0,c_s)$ and $(a_s,0,\gamma/2k^2)$, where two solid curves represent typical solutions for $r>0$ and $r<0$.

where the Jacobi elliptic functions are defined by $\operatorname{dn} u = (1 - \kappa^2 \operatorname{sn}^2 u)^{1/2}$, $\operatorname{cn} u = (1 - \operatorname{sn}^2 u)^{1/2}$, and $\int_0^{\operatorname{sn} u} [(1-x^2)(1-\kappa^2 x^2)]^{-1/2} dx = u$. Here, the parameters α , β , and κ^2 are given by

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{p^2}{2q} - r + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2q} \left(\frac{p^2}{2q} - 2r \right)} & \text{for } r > 0 \\ \frac{p^2}{2q} - 2r + \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{2q} \left(\frac{p^2}{2q} - 2r \right)} & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (26)$$

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\alpha}{q} \right)^{1/4} & \text{for } r > 0 \\ \left(\frac{\alpha \kappa^2}{q} \right)^{1/4} & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (27)$$

and

$$\kappa^2 = 1 - \frac{|r|}{\alpha}, \quad (28)$$

respectively, where

$$p = 1 - \frac{2k^2}{\gamma} c_0 + \frac{4k^4}{\gamma^2} a_0^2, \quad (29)$$

$$q = \frac{2k^4}{\gamma^2}, \quad (30)$$

$$r = a_0^2 - \frac{2k^2}{\gamma} a_0^2 c_0 + \frac{2k^4}{\gamma^2} a_0^4 - b_0^2. \quad (31)$$

D. Orbit in (a,b,c) -phase space

The solutions given above are periodic functions of the time t . For $r > 0$, $a(t)$ takes any value in the range $\beta(r/\alpha)^{1/2} \leq |a| \leq \beta$, and its sign is a constant determined by the initial value a_0 . On the other hand, for $r < 0$, the value

range of $a(t)$ is given by $-\beta \leq a \leq \beta$ and the sign of a changes with time. Thus, the shape of the closed orbit in the (a, b, c) -phase space is like a butterfly for $r < 0$ (see Figs. 2 and 9). The period T of the solution $[a(t), b(t), c(t)]$ is written as

$$T = \begin{cases} \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha\gamma}} K(\kappa) & \text{for } r > 0 \\ \frac{4\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha\gamma}} K(\kappa) & \text{for } r < 0 \end{cases}, \quad (32)$$

where $K(\kappa)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

We find that, as $(a_0, b_0, c_0) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0)$, $r \rightarrow 0$ and

$$T \sim \begin{cases} \gamma^{-1} \log(1/|r|) & \text{for } r \rightarrow +0 \\ 2\gamma^{-1} \log(1/|r|) & \text{for } r \rightarrow -0. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Thus, the period T shows the logarithmic divergence for $r \rightarrow 0$. The solution $[a(t), b(t), c(t)]$ stays most of the period in the neighborhood of the stationary point $(0, 0, 0)$. For the case of $r = 0$, the solution is no longer periodic (or $T = \infty$) and its orbit emerges from (approaches to) the stationary point $(0, 0, 0)$ for $t \rightarrow -\infty$ ($+\infty$). The set of (a_0, b_0, c_0) satisfying $r = a_0^2 - (2k^2/\gamma) a_0 c_0 + (2k^4/\gamma^2) a_0^4 - b_0^2 = 0$ forms a separatrix surface, which separates the phase space into the two regions ($r > 0$ and $r < 0$; see Fig. 2) which are filled with the two different types of orbits given by Eqs. (23)–(25).

III. DRIFT KINETIC SIMULATIONS

A. Simulation scheme

A basic scheme of our drift kinetic simulation is briefly presented here. It is easily found that Eq. (1) is reversible in time. Avoiding the numerical noise inherent in the particle simulation, we employ an Eulerian scheme which keeps the time-reversibility. A discrete spectral representation in the phase space or discretization on numerical grids makes Eq. (1) a set of ordinary differential equations. In a vector form, it can be written as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{U}}{dt} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}). \quad (34)$$

In order to keep the time-reversibility of Eq. (1), a numerical time-integration scheme of Eq. (34) should also be reversible in time. It is well known that the symplectic scheme often used for integration of a Hamiltonian system, preserving a symplectic 2-form exactly, is nondissipative, namely, time-reversible.^{16,17} One of the simplest examples is the leap-frog integrator, which is a standard scheme in particle simulations,¹⁸ where particle motions are given by the Newton-Lorentz equation (not by drift motion of the guiding center). To trace the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift particle motion with the time-reversibility, however, one needs to use an implicit symplectic method. This is because the Hamiltonian, $H(x, y, z, v) = mv^2/2 + e\phi(x, y, z)$, for the drift motion is nonseparable for perpendicular coordinates x and y , which are a conjugate pair of the coordinates (where $dx/dt = -\partial\phi/\partial y$ and $dy/dt = \partial\phi/\partial x$), while it is separable for the

another conjugate pair, that is, the parallel coordinate z and the parallel velocity v . One of the simplest implicit schemes is the implicit midpoint rule,

$$\mathbf{U}^{n+1} - \mathbf{U}^n = \Delta t \mathbf{F}([\mathbf{U}^{n+1} + \mathbf{U}^n]/2), \quad (35)$$

where n means a time step. This scheme is apparently reversible in time. When Eq. (35) is applied to the Hamilton's equation in canonical coordinates, $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p})$, it leads to a canonical transform from $(\mathbf{q}^n, \mathbf{p}^n)$ to $(\mathbf{q}^{n+1}, \mathbf{p}^{n+1})$.¹⁹

We have employed Eq. (35) to integrate the drift kinetic equation,

$$f^{n+1} - f^n = -\Delta t \{\bar{f}, \bar{H}\}, \quad (36)$$

where $\bar{f} = (f^{n+1} + f^n)/2$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ means the Poisson brackets. $\bar{H}$ depends on $\bar{f}$ through the electrostatic potential ϕ . Although Eq. (36) is not a symplectic transform of f generated by a particle Hamiltonian, it preserves the time-reversibility, namely, is nondissipative. It is also noteworthy that Eq. (36), which can be solved by iteration, is regarded as a discretized form of $\partial\bar{f}/\partial t = -\{\bar{f}, \bar{H}\}$ with second-order accuracy in time (namely, a time-centered finite difference). Construction of a fourth-order scheme is straightforward by successive operations of Eq. (35).²⁰

The nondissipative simulation scheme given above is applied to the three-mode coupling system of the ITG modes in the two-dimensional shearless slab geometry. Starting with an initial condition of

$$\tilde{f}(x, y, v, t=0) = \varepsilon F_M(v) \cos(kx) \cos(ky) \quad (37)$$

for $L_x = L_y = 2\pi/k$, we numerically follow a time evolution of $\tilde{f}$ in Eq. (2).

The physical parameters used here are $k = k_x = k_y = 0.1$ and $\eta_i = 10$. We have carried out several runs for different Θ scanning from 0.25 to 3. Amplitude of the initial perturbation, ε , is also changed from 10^{-5} to 1. The box size of the simulation is $L_x = L_y = 20\pi$ with 32×32 grid points. Spatial derivatives in Eq. (2) are calculated in the Fourier space. The velocity space of $-5 \leq v \leq 5$ is discretized by 129 grid points. A time step is taken to be $\Delta t = 0.25$.

We have made convergence checks for the time step, the resolution in the velocity space, the maximum velocity, and the accuracy of integration scheme, all of which give the same results as shown below.

B. Linear results

In Fig. 3, the linear frequency ω_r and growth rate γ resulting from simulations for different Θ are shown by circular and triangular marks in comparison with the linear analysis. Simulation results shown here are obtained by the second-order implicit scheme in Eq. (36). Solving the linear dispersion relation given in the last section [see Eq. (13)] numerically, we have calculated the theoretical values, which agree with the simulation results. By the Nyquist criterion, we have also confirmed that only one eigenmode is unstable for a given value of Θ .

In Fig. 4 are plotted profiles of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ for $\Theta = 1$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$ in the velocity space during the linear growth phase

FIG. 3. Linear frequency (ω_r) and growth rate (γ) for different Θ obtained from simulations (marks) and linear analysis (lines) with $k=0.1$ and $\eta_i=10$.

at $t=100$. Here, a phase of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ is shifted in y so that $\phi_{1,1}$ is real. Amplitude of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ (and also $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ in Fig. 6) is normalized by $\phi_{1,1}$. Circular and triangular marks in Fig. 4 show the simulation data on each grid point. Solid and dashed lines represent real and imaginary parts of a linear eigenfunction of the (1,1) mode, $f_{Lr}(v)$ and $f_{Li}(v)$, for the eigenfrequency $\omega_r + i\gamma$, which are defined in Eqs. (11) and (13) in Sec. II. In the linear growth phase, $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ is well fitted by the eigenfunction, while $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ is negligible.

C. Nonlinear results

A time evolution of mode amplitudes for $\Theta=1$ and $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ is shown in Fig. 5, where the 0th-moment of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ and 2nd-moment of $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ are represented by solid and dashed lines, respectively. After the initial linear growth phase, the amplitude of the (1,1) mode peaked at $t=196$ due to appearance of the nonlinear (2,0) mode. Then, the (1,1) mode exponentially decays with the same rate as the linear growth phase, and reaches its minimum at $t=393$. The minimum

FIG. 4. Profiles of the distribution functions in the velocity space obtained from simulations (marks) for $\Theta=1$ and $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ with $k=0.1$ and $\eta_i=10$ at $t=100$. Solid and dashed lines indicate the linear eigenfunction.

FIG. 5. Amplitude evolutions of 0th-moment of (1,1) mode (solid) and 2nd-moment of (2,0) mode (dashed) for $\Theta=1$ and $\varepsilon=10^{-5}$ with $k=0.1$ and $\eta_i=10$.

amplitude is nearly equal to the initial perturbation level. After that, the growth and decay are repeated with a regular oscillation period of $T=394$.

Figure 6 shows profiles of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ and $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ in the velocity space in the nonlinear phase. When the (1,1) mode amplitude is peaked at $t=196$, $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}_{1,1})$ disappears (upper panel). On

FIG. 6. The same as Fig. 4, but for $t=196$ (upper) and $t=290$ (lower).

FIG. 7. Peak amplitudes of $\phi_{1,1}$ for different Θ (marks) with a theoretical prediction of $\gamma/\sqrt{2}k^2$ (solid) where $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$ with $k = 0.1$ and $\eta_i = 10$.

the other hand, one can see a finite amplitude of $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}_{2,0})$, of which profile is scaled as $\sqrt{2}f_{Li}(v)$. During the decay phase, $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ is fitted by the complex conjugate of $f_L(v)$. Neglecting small fluctuations of order ε , therefore, the linear and nonlinear evolutions of $\tilde{f}_{1,1}$ and $\tilde{f}_{2,0}$ are described in terms of f_{Lr} and f_{Li} as is considered in the last section.

The peak amplitude of $\phi_{1,1}$ provides a good benchmark for the simulation scheme, since the same test has been done by the gyrokinetic and gyrofluid codes¹¹ as well as theoretical predictions.^{3,11} A systematic scan for Θ from 0.25 to 3 has been made with $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}$. The peak levels are summarized in Fig. 7 with a theoretical prediction of $|\phi_{1,1}|_{\text{peak}} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}k^2$ given as follows: Substitute $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ to Eqs. (20) and (21) for infinitesimal initial perturbation. Since $da/dt = 0$ at the peak of the mode amplitude, $b = 0$ [see Eq. (15)]. Then, one finds $a = \gamma/\sqrt{2}k^2$, $b = 0$, and $c = \sqrt{2}a = \gamma/k^2$, which also gives the scaling of $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}_{2,0}) = \sqrt{2}f_{Li}$ shown in Fig. 6. The simulation and the theory give the same peak level and scaling.

The scaling of $|\phi_{1,1}|_{\text{peak}} = \gamma/\sqrt{2}k^2$ agrees with previous theoretical works^{3,11} except for a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. The peak amplitude for $\Theta = 1$ is almost the same as the drift kinetic simulation shown in Ref. 15. In the early work on the gyrokinetic and gyrofluid comparison,¹¹ the peak amplitude given by the gyrokinetic code is about 15% lower than the present results but with the similar dependence on Θ . The gyrofluid code shows an agreement at small Θ , but overestimates at large Θ . Since $\tilde{f}$ or density and temperature perturbations were not truncated in Ref. 11, more detailed benchmarking would be desired.

As found in Fig. 5, after the peaking, the mode amplitude decreases to the initial perturbation level. Thus, it is considered that the oscillation period T depends on ε . A similar nonlinear oscillation, but with different period and amplitude, was observed in a benchmark test of the nonlinear kinetic fluid closure¹⁵ as well as the drift wave simulations.¹²⁻¹⁴ In order to examine dependence of the oscillation period on the initial perturbation amplitude, we have performed six runs for $\Theta = 1$, changing ε as 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , ..., 1. The observed periods T in the simulations are plotted in

FIG. 8. Oscillation periods found in simulations for $\Theta = 1$, $k = 0.1$, and $\eta_i = 10$ depending on the initial perturbation level ε (where $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}, 10^{-4}, \dots, 1$) (marks) with a theoretical prediction given by Eq. (32) (solid).

Fig. 8 versus the minimum amplitude $|\phi_{1,1}|_{\text{min}}$, which shows a logarithmic dependence of T on $|\phi_{1,1}|_{\text{min}}$. The analytical solution for $r > 0$ given by Eq. (32) predicts the same period. The $|\phi_{1,1}|_{\text{min}}$ dependence of T is easily explained by noting that a smaller initial perturbation stays for a longer time at the linear growing and decaying phases. Thus, a time average of $|\phi_{1,1}|$ approaches zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which is consistent with Eq. (33).

Finally, Fig. 9 shows the two kinds of orbits in Eqs. (23)–(25) for $r > 0$ with $(a_0, b_0, c_0) = (0.25, 0, 0)$ (upper) and $r < 0$ with $(a_0, b_0, c_0) = (0, 0.25, 0)$ (lower) by solid lines. We also plot circular marks representing the Vlasov simulation results at every $2L_n/v_{ti}$ which are, respectively, begun with initial conditions of

$$\tilde{f}_{1,1}(v, t=0) = a_0 f_{Lr}(v) \quad (\text{upper}) \quad (38)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_{1,1}(v, t=0) = ib_0 f_{Li}(v) \quad (\text{lower}). \quad (39)$$

As shown in Fig. 9, a perfect agreement is found between the analytical solutions and the Vlasov simulation results. It is clearly confirmed that our numerical scheme strictly preserves the time-reversibility, the periodicity, and the initial value dependence of the exact solution. Here, we have assumed the initial conditions in Eqs. (38) and (39) which satisfy Eq. (14), while a different type of the initial condition in Eq. (37) has been employed for the simulations shown in Figs. 3–8. Nevertheless, all of the simulation results are well described by the analytical solution. It is because, if the initial perturbation is sufficiently small, only one linearly unstable eigenmode in the form of Eq. (14) becomes dominant at the early time stage. Thus, the amplitude oscillation found in Fig. 5, where $a > 0$, is explained by the periodic motion along the orbit for $r > 0$ in the (a, b, c) -phase space.

IV. SUMMARY

We have developed a nondissipative Eulerian kinetic simulation method which rigorously preserves the time-

FIG. 9. Typical two orbits of solutions for $r > 0$ (upper) and $r < 0$ (lower) followed by the Vlasov simulation results for the initial conditions given by Eqs. (38) and (39) for the same parameters as Fig. 1. The solid lines represent the analytical solutions. Circular marks show the simulation results at every $2L_n/v_{ti}$.

reversibility inherent in the collisionless drift kinetic equation. The simulation method is applied to the three-mode ITG problem. An analytical solution of the three-mode ITG equations is also derived here, and successfully describes the nonlinear evolution of the three-mode system. We have found an excellent agreement between the simulation results and the analytical solution.

The main results obtained here are summarized as follows: Amplitudes of $(\pm 1, \pm 1)$ and $(\pm 2, 0)$ modes repeat the

nonlinear oscillation, and evolve in time along a closed orbit which encircles the stationary solution $(a_s, 0, \gamma/2k^2)$ in the phase space. This fact reflects the time-reversibility of Eq. (2). Thus, the three-mode system never reaches a steady state, unless the initial condition coincides with the stationary solutions in Eq. (16). Since the solution stays most of the period in the neighborhood of the stationary point $(0, 0, 0)$ with the exponential time dependence, the oscillation period has a logarithmic dependence on the initial mode amplitude. Thus, the time average of the mode amplitude vanishes, as the infinitesimal initial perturbation approaches zero.

The success of the present simulation, the validity of which is confirmed by the theoretical analysis, is achieved by a proper choice of the numerical scheme with no dissipation. If the scheme is dissipative, it affects estimates of the oscillation period and the averaged amplitude. Application of the present numerical method to a multimode coupling system and the gyrokinetic equations remains for future works.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (T.-H.W.) would like to thank Y. Todo for his fruitful comments and discussions. Numerical computations in this study are performed on the NIFS MISSION System (Man-Machine Interactive System for Simulation).

- ¹L. I. Rudakov and R. Z. Sagdeev, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138**, 581 (1961) [English transl.: Sov. Phys. Dokl. **6**, 415 (1961)].
- ²B. Coppi, M. N. Rosenbluth, and R. Z. Sagdeev, Phys. Fluids **10**, 582 (1967).
- ³W. W. Lee and W. M. Tang, Phys. Fluids **31**, 612 (1988).
- ⁴S. E. Parker, W. W. Lee, and R. A. Santoro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2042 (1993).
- ⁵A. M. Dimits, T. J. Williams, J. A. Byers, and B. I. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 71 (1996).
- ⁶Z. Lin, T. S. Hahm, W. W. Lee, W. M. Tang, and R. B. White, Science **281**, 1835 (1998).
- ⁷W. Dorland and G. W. Hammett, Phys. Fluids B **5**, 812 (1993).
- ⁸R. E. Waltz, G. D. Kerbel, and J. Milovich, Phys. Plasmas **1**, 2229 (1994).
- ⁹M. Kotschenreuther, W. Dorland, M. A. Beer, and G. W. Hammett, Phys. Plasmas **2**, 2381 (1995).
- ¹⁰M. A. Beer, G. W. Hammett, G. Rewoldt, E. J. Synakowski, M. C. Zarnstorff, and W. Dorland, Phys. Plasmas **4**, 1792 (1997).
- ¹¹S. E. Parker, W. Dorland, R. A. Santoro, M. A. Beer, Q. P. Liu, W. W. Lee, and G. W. Hammett, Phys. Plasmas **1**, 1461 (1994).
- ¹²W. W. Lee, J. A. Krommes, C. R. Oberman, and R. A. Smith, Phys. Fluids **27**, 2652 (1984).
- ¹³J. F. Federici, W. W. Lee, and W. M. Tang, Phys. Fluids **30**, 425 (1987).
- ¹⁴A. M. Dimits, Phys. Fluids B **2**, 1768 (1990).
- ¹⁵N. Mattor and S. E. Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3419 (1997).
- ¹⁶E. Forest and R. D. Ruth, Physica D **43**, 105 (1990).
- ¹⁷H. Yoshida, Phys. Lett. A **150**, 262 (1990).
- ¹⁸C. K. Birdsall and A. B. Langdon, *Plasma Physics via Computer Simulation* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1985).
- ¹⁹J. M. Sanz-Serna, Physica D **60**, 293 (1992).
- ²⁰J. deFrutos and J. M. Sanz-Serna, J. Comput. Phys. **103**, 160 (1992).