Feasibility study of fast ion loss diagnostics for CFQS by beam ion loss calculation on vacuum vessel

メタデータ	言語: eng	
	出版者:	
	公開日: 2021-10-08	
	キーワード (Ja):	
	キーワード (En):	
	作成者: OGAWA, Kunihoro, ISOBE, Mitsutaka, SEKI,	
	Ryosuke, NUGA, Hideo, Yamaguchi, Hiroyuki,	
	Sangaroon, Siriyaporn, SHIMIZU, Akihiro, OKAMURA,	
	Shoichi, TAKAHASHI, Hiromi, OISHI, Tetsutaro,	
	KINOSHITA, Shigeyoshi, MURASE, Takanori,	
	NAKAGAWA, Sho, TANOUE, Hiroyuki, OSAKABE,	
	Masaki, LIU, H.F., Xu, Y.	
	メールアドレス:	
	所属:	
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10655/00012654	
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons		

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



Feasibility Study of Fast Ion Loss Diagnostics for CFQS by Beam Ion Loss Calculation on Vacuum

3 Vessel

K. Ogawa^{a,b}, M. Isobe^{a,b}, R. Seki^{a,b}, H. Nuga^a, H. Yamaguchi^{a,b}, S. Sangaroon^{a,c}, A. Shimizu^{a,b}, S. Okamura^a, H. Takahashi^{a,b}, T. Oishi^{a,b}, S. Kinoshita^a, T. Murase^a, S. Nakagawa^a, H. Tanoue^a, M. Osakabe^{a,b}, H. F. Liu^d, and Y. Xu^d

- 7 ^a National Institute for Fusion Science (NIFS), National Institutes of Natural Sciences,
- 8 322-6 Oroshi-cho, Toki-city, Gifu 509-5292, Japan
- 9 ^b The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI,
- 10 322-6 Oroshi-cho, Toki-city, Gifu 509-5292, Japan
- 11 ^c Mahasarakham University,
- 12 20 41 Kham Riang, Kantharawichai District, Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand
- ¹³ ^d Southwest Jiaotong University,
- 14 Sha Xi Mei Shi Yi Tiao Jie, Jinniu District, Chengdu, Sichuan, China
- 15 *E-mail*: ogawa.kunihiro@nifs.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: A feasibility study for installing fast-ion loss diagnostics (FILDs) is initiated to 16 17 understand energetic ion loss process in a quasi-axisymmetric stellarator CFQS. The calculations of beam ion orbit, the guiding center orbit code including the slowing down by bulk 18 19 plasma in the Boozer coordinates and the collisionless Lorentz orbit code in the Cartesian 20 coordinates, are performed to estimate the toroidal/poloidal distribution of beam ion losses on a 21 vacuum vessel. We observed that loss points on the vacuum vessel are mainly located at the upper side of torus according to gradient magnetic field drift direction. Based on the loss 22 distribution on the vacuum vessel, a possible location of FILD for CFQS is investigated. 23 Although the energy of lost beam ions is ~ 10 keV, relatively high-energy (> 20 keV) 24 components can be measured on the upper side of the torus in the low-density case. Furthermore, 25 the radial or vertical dependence of beam ion loss flux at candidate movable scintillator-type 26 27 FILD positions is obtained. A considerable number of particles reach the scintillator-type FILD 28 position. The energy and pitch angle distribution of beam ions reaching the FILD position 29 shows that scintillator-type FILDs can measure co-going transit beam ions with energy of ~ 25 30 keV and pitch angle of ~140 degrees and transition beam ions with energy of 10-15 keV and 31 pitch angle of ~80-100 degrees.

KEYWORDS: CFQS; QAS; Energetic ion confinement; Fast ion loss detector; Orbit following
 model.

34 Contents

35	1. Introduction	1
36	2. Setups for orbit following calculation	1
37	3. Results of the beam ion loss calculation	2
38	3.1 Distribution of lost beam ions on the vacuum vessel	2
39	3.2 Candidate positions of the fast-ion loss detector	5
40	4. Summary	7
41		

- 42
- 43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 The study of fast-ion losses caused by magnetic field ripples and various 46 magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) instabilities plays a key role in understanding the fusion-born 47 alpha particle losses of a fusion reactor. Moreover, the velocity distribution and flux of escaping 48 energetic ions are essential in understanding confinement-loss boundary and energetic ion 49 transport through wave-particle interaction. Fast-ion loss detectors (FILDs) [1], which provide energy, pitch angle, and flux of lost energetic ions, have been commonly used to understand the 50 energetic ion loss in tokamaks [2-9] and stellarator/helical devices [10-14]. Under a joint project 51 52 of the National Institute for Fusion Science and Southwest Jiaotong University [15], physics 53 [16-20] and engineering design [21-25] of the world's first quasi-axisymmetric stellarator CFQS 54 have been progressed and CFQS is currently in the course of construction. In CFQS, a neutral beam (NB) injector is considered to be installed. Therefore, a study of energetic ion 55 56 confinement based on experimental results in quasi-axisymmetric stellarator configuration will 57 be possible for the first time. A feasibility study for the installation of FILD is reported herein.

58 2. Setups for orbit following calculation

59 CFQS is classified into a quasi-axisymmetric stellarator with a major radius of 1.0 m and a 60 minor radius of 0.25 m, as shown in Fig. 1. The toroidal magnetic field strength is 1.0 T. CFQS 61 will be equipped with a tangential NB injector with injection energy and power of 30 keV and 1 62 MW, respectively. A previous numerical study of the NB injection angle was reported in Ref.



Figure 1. CFQS with NB injector

26. In previous analysis, a hydrogen beam is injected into a hydrogen plasma. Notably the NB 63 64 direction is counter-aligned with respect to the equilibrium magnetic field. The level of the NB injector axis is located on the equatorial plane of the plasma. This numerical simulation 65 comprises of two parts. One is guiding center orbit calculation based on the birth position of NB, 66 67 including the slowing down with bulk plasma in the Boozer Coordinates. The other is the collisionless Larmor orbit calculation from the last closed flux surface (LCFS) position where 68 the beam ion reached. The birth position of NB ions is calculated using the HFREYA code, 69 70 which is a part of the FIT3D code [27], using the three-dimensional MHD equilibrium reconstructed through the VMEC2000 code [28]. Herein, the number of injected particles is set 71 to 5×10^8 . The radial profiles of the electron temperature and density are assumed to be 72 parabolic. The central electron temperature (keV) is set to 2.0 / n_{e0} (10¹⁹ m⁻³), and the ion 73 temperature is assumed to be the same as the electron temperature. Further, the effective ion 74 charge is 1. The impurity may enhance the pitch angle scattering of beam ions, especially in the 75 relatively low-density/high-temperature case due to the relatively high critical energy. However, 76 77 in this study, the effective charge is set 1 because the main objectives of this study are to determine whether FILD can work and show the candidate density range. The orbit following 78 79 calculation, including the finite impurity density based on the experimentally obtained effective 80 ion charge, will be studies in our future work. In this calculation, the central electron density varies from 1×10^{19} to 5×10^{19} m⁻³. The DELTA5D code [29] is used to calculate the guiding 81 center orbit within 100 ms from the birth position, including the collision with a background 82 plasma in the Boozer coordinates. In the DELTA5D calculation, 10^5 orbits are considered. Note 83 that 10^5 particles are chosen randomly from the deposited particles calculated using the 84 HFERYA code because of the limitation of the computational time. Furthermore, the reaching 85 position and velocity of the energetic ion on the LCFS are obtained. We employ the LORBIT 86 87 code [30] to calculate the collisionless Lorentz orbit of the NB ion from the LCFS. The orbit following time is set to 0.1 ms, the number of particles is set to 10⁶, and the initial position of 88 the collisionless Lorentz orbit is randomly selected from the reaching points on the LCFS 89 calculated using the DELTA5D code with a random number generator. Herein, the gyro phase is 90 91 also randomly chosen using the random number generator. The number of particles are set to ten 92 times higher than that of DELTA5D code to consider the gyro phase effect. A particle is 93 considered to be lost when the particle reaches the vacuum vessel, whereas the particle is 94 detected by a FILD if the distance between the particle and FILD is less than the Larmor radius. 95 It should be noted that the response of the FILD is not included in this analysis. Therefore, the 96 beam ions coming to the FILD position are considered to be detected. The design of the FILD head will be studied in our future work. 97

98 **3. Results of the beam ion loss calculation**

99 **3.1 Distribution of lost beam ions on the vacuum vessel**

100 A radial distribution of beam ions at different densities is shown in Fig. 2a. The number of 101 source particles corresponding to the deposition efficiency increases with the density in this 102 density region. The integrated number of source particles becomes approximately three times 103 higher in the high-density case (n_{e0} of 5 × 10¹⁹ m⁻³) than the number in the low-density case (n_{e0} 104 of 1 × 10¹⁹ m⁻³). The peak of the number of source particles appeared at the normalized minor 105 radius (r/a) of approximately 0.5-0.6. Moreover, the peak position shifts outward owing to the 106 density increase because the penetration length is short in the high-density cases. Note that the



Figure 2. (a) Radial and (b) pitch angle distributuion of beam ions.

107

number of source particles becomes almost zero at the plasma axis because of the little volume
of the plasma. Figure 2b shows the pitch angle distribution of beam ions. The pitch angle of
beam ions is mainly greater than 140 degrees, which has co-going passing transit beam ion orbit.
A peak at a pitch angle ~150 degrees corresponds to the main components of NB deposition.
The other peak at the pitch angle 175 degrees appeared because the tangency point of the
plasma axis and the NB sightline exists near the plasma axis as shown in Ref. 19.

114 Herein, we used the DELTA5D code to obtain the distribution of the reaching points of beam ions with an energy greater than 10 keV on the LCFS (Fig. 3a). In this calculation, the 115 toroidal magnetic field is directed counterclockwise with a topview. Therefore, the reaching 116 points mainly appear at the upper side of the plasma, which is consistent with the gradient 117 118 magnetic field drift direction. The toroidal and poloidal distribution of the reaching points of beam ions is plotted in Fig. 3b. Here, the size of the toroidal/poloidal angle grid is set to four 119 degrees. In the low-density case, where $n_{e0} = 1 \times 10^{19}$ m⁻³, relatively concentrated regions 120 appear at the toroidal/poloidal angle of 0-40/100-140 and 180-220/100-140 degrees. It is worth 121 note that the two preferred toroidal positions appear because of the shape of the equilibrium 122 magnetic field. Thus, the positions are relatively insensitive to the magnetic field strength. 123 124 However, the positions are relatively sensitive to the equilibrium magnetic field or the magnetic



Figure 3. (a) Three dimensional plot of reaching points of beam ions on the LCFS at n_{e0} of 1×10^{19} m⁻³. (b) Toroidal/poloidal angle distribution of beam ion reaching the LCFS.

field direction. The concentrated region corresponds to the relatively high NB heat flux region. 125 Such a concentrated region disappeared in the high-density case, where $n_{e0} = 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$. 126 Figure 4 shows the energy and pitch angle distribution of beam ions reaching the LCFS. For the 127 energy distribution, two sharp peaks appeared at 15 and 30 keV in all density cases, 128 129 corresponding to the prompt loss of full and half energy components of the NB injector. A relatively broad peak is observed at the energy less than 10 keV. The beam ion reaches the 130 LCFS owing to the orbital effect. The energy decreases as the density increases owing to the 131 132 shorter slowing down time of beam ions due to a high density and low temperature. For the pitch angle distribution, a relatively significant peak is observed at a pitch angle of 60-110 133 134 degrees and relatively small peaks are present at the pitch angle of 130-175 degrees. The former 135 peak corresponds to the thermalized components with energy of less than 10 keV, whereas the 136 latter peak appeares due to the beam ion reaching the LCFS within a short time. The peak at a pitch angle of ~100 degrees might appear at the lowest density case because of the relatively 137 longer slowing down time. 138

139

Furthermore, the lost points of beam ions on the vacuum vessel are obtained using the



Figure 4. (a) Energy distribution of beam ions reaching the LCFS. (b) Pitch angle distribution of beam ions reaching the LCFS.



Figure 5. (a) Three dimensional plot of loss points of beam ions on the vacuum vessel at n_{e0} of 1×10^{19} m⁻³. (b) Toroidal/poloidal angle distribution of beam ion loss.

LORBIT code (Fig. 5a). The lost points mainly appear at the upper side of the vacuum vessel
because of the gradient magnetic field drift. The distribution of lost particles has a stripe-like
distribution as shown in Fig. 5b, which is similar to the distribution of the reaching points of
beam ions on the LCFS.

144 **3.2** Candidate positions of the fast-ion loss detector

Regarding the beam ion loss disctibution on the vacuum vessel, we investigate the candidate positions for stacking-foil-type and scintillator-type FILDs. Figure 6 shows the top and three-dimensional views of the candidate location of FILDs. A point at the upper side of the vacuum vessel (x, y, z) = (0.35 m, 0.57 m, and 0.43 m) is selected as the candidate position for the stacking-foil-type FILD, where relatively high beam loss flux is expected (Fig. 7a). Here, the size of the stacking-foil is set to be substantially large, i.e., 10 cm × 10 cm, to obtain



Candidate ports for scintillator-type FILD port Figure 6. (a) Diagnostics port candidate for FILDs. (b) Position of scintillator-type FILDs.

151 significant data points in the calculation. Note that port #28 can be used for the current lead



Figure 7. (a) Top view of the stacking-foil type FILD position with the loss point of beam ions. (b) Energy distribution of beam ions reaching the FILD.

152 connection port. Figure 7b shows that the energy distribution of beam ion reaches the stacking-153 foil-type FILD position. Some energetic ions having an energy greater than 20 keV can be 154 measured especially in relatively low-density cases (n_{e0} of less than 4 × 10¹⁹ m⁻³). The plot 155 shows that the energy of detectable ions by the stacking-foil-type FILD is mainly less than 10 156 keV. The relatively low-energy unwanted beam ion flux will be eliminated by the aperture or 157 foil thickness designs.

In Fig. 8, the number of particles detected by the scintillator-type FILD is shown as a 158 159 function of the position of FILD. In this study, the particle energy greater than 10 keV is counted, and the FILD moves along the FILD axis starting from the diagnostic port. In 160 161 FILDpos1, the major radius R should be less than 1.3 m to obtain a considerable number of 162 particles (Fig. 8a). The expected signal becomes almost two times higher if the R of the FILD is 1.28 m. However, the density dependence of the number of particles is unclear. In the FILDpos2, 163 height Z should be less than 0.15 m to obtain a considerable number of particles (Fig. 8b). The 164 expected signal becomes almost flat if Z of the FILD becomes less than 0.06 m. The number of 165 particles is higher in relatively low-density cases (n_{e0} of 1×10^{19} and 2×10^{19} m⁻³). However, the 166 number remains almost unchanged in the higher-density cases. In FILDpos3, the height Z167 should be less than ~ 0.31 m to obtain a significant number of particles (Fig. 8c). The expected 168 169 signal increases with the decrease of Z from 0.31 m to 0.25 m. The number of particles is almost saturated at Z of 0.23 m. The number of particles is higher in relatively low-density cases (n_{e0} of 170 1×10^{19} and 3×10^{19} m⁻³). However, the number of particles is almost the same in the high-171 density 172 cases.





Figure 8. Radial or vertical profile of the number of reaching particles at (a) FILDpos1, (b) FILDpos2, and (c) FILDpos3.

173

Figure 9 shows the energy and pitch angle distributions of beam ions detected by the FILD 174 at R = 1.28 m for FILDpos1, Z = 0.02 m for FILDpos2, and Z = 0.25 m for FILDpos3. Here, the 175 three spots that exist for energy/pitch angle are ~10 keV/~70 degrees, ~10 keV/100 degrees, and 176 \sim 25 keV/140 degrees. The former two spots correspond to the barely-trapped ion, whereas the 177 third spot corresponds to the co-going ions near the injection energy. An experimental study of 178 179 energy/pitch angle resolved toroidal/poloidal distribution of beam ion losses due to magnetic field ripples as well as MHD instabilities becomes prospective for the first time in quasi-180 181 axisymmetric stellarator using multiple FILDs.



Figure 9. Energy and pitch angle distributions of detectable beam ions by (a) FILDpos1, (b) FILDpos2, and (c) FILDpos3.

182 **4. Summary**

183 CFQS provides the first opportunity for an experimental study of beam ion confinement in 184 a quasi-axisymmetric configuration. A feasibility study of beam ion loss diagnostics was 185 performed using beam ion loss calculation based on the orbit following models. The distribution 186 of beam ion loss on the vacuum vessel shows that the loss points are mainly located at the upper 187 side of the vacuum vessel due to the gradient magnetic field drift. We investigated the candidate positions for FILD and concluded that the measurement of beam ion loss is possible using stacking-foil type and scintillator type FILDs. We hope that the energetic ion confinement study on a quasi-axisymmetric stellarator will be largely progressed using multi-FILDs in CFQS.

191 Acknowledgments

This work is performed with the support and under the auspices of the NIFS Collaboration Research Program (NIFS17KBAP034). Also, this work is partly supported by International collaborations with overseas laboratories (UFEX105) and Promotion of magnetic confinement research using helical devices in Asia (URSX401).

196 **References**

- 197 [1] S. J. Zweben, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 60 (1989) 576.
- 198 [2] S. Baeumel et al., Fusion Eng Des. 74 (2005) 853.
- 199 [3] D. S. Darrow et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 72 (2008) 2936.
- 200 [4] M. García-Muñoz et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 80 (2009) 053503.
- 201 [5] D. C. Pave et al., Rev. Sci. Insturm. 83 (2012) 073501.
- 202 [6] R. K. Fisher et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 81 (2010) 10D307.
- 203 [7] Junghee Kim et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83 (2012) 10D305.
- 204 [8] Y. P. Zhang et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85** (2014) 053502.
- 205 [9] J. F. Chang et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 87 (2016) 11E728.
- 206 [10] M. Isobe et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. **70** (1999) 827.
- 207 [11] A. Werner et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 72 (2001) 780.
- 208 [12] S. Yamamoto et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum 87 (2016) 11D818.
- 209 [13] K. Ogawa et al., J. Plasma Fusion Res. SERIES 8 (2009) 655
- 210 [14] K. Ogawa et al., J. Instrum. 14 (2019) C09021.
- 211 [15] M. Isobe et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 14 (2019) 3402074.
- 212 [16] H. F. Liu et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 13 (2018) 3405067.
- 213 [17] A. Shimizu et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 13 (2018) 3403123.
- 214 [18] H. F. Liu et al., Nucl. Fusion **61** (2021) 016014.
- 215 [19] J. Varela et al., Nucl. Fusion 61 (2021) 026023.
- 216 [20] X. Q. Wang et al., Nucl. Fusion **61** (2021) 036021.
- 217 [21] S. Kinoshita et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 14 (2019) 3405097.
- 218 [22] A. Shimizu et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 14 (2019) 3403151.
- 219 [23] T. Murase et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 161 (2020) 111869.

- 220 [24] S. Nakagawa et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 15 (2020) 2405066.
- 221 [25] Guozhen Xiong et al., Fusion Eng. Des. 160 (2020) 112021.
- 222 [26] K. Ogawa et al., Plasma Fusion Res 14 (2019) 3402067.
- 223 [27] S. Murakami et al., Trans. Fusion Technol. 27 (1995) 256.
- 224 [28] S. P. Hirshman and O. Betancourt J. Comput. Phys. 96 (1991) 99.
- 225 [29] D. A. Spong et al., Phys. Plasmas 18 (2011) 056109.
- 226 [30] M. Isobe et al., J. Plasma Fusion Res. SERIES 8 (2009) 330.