Gamma ray diagnostics for high time resolution measurement in large helical device

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Gamma Ray Diagnostics for High Time Resolution Measurement in Large Helical Device

3 K. Ogawa^{a,b,1}, S. Sangaroon^c, L. Y. Liao^b, H. Matsuura^d, K. Kimura^d, D. Umezaki^d,
4 M. Naoi^d, T. Fukuda^d, S. Wakisaka^d, and M. Isobe^{a,b}

- *^a National Institute for Fusion Science, National Institutes of Natural Sciences,*
- *Toki, 509-5292, Japan*
- *^b The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI*
- *Toki, 509-5292, Japan*
- *^c Mahasarakham University*
- *Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand*
- *^d Kyushu University,*
- *Fukuoka, Japan*
-
- *E-mail*: ogawa.kunihiro@nifs.ac.jp

 ABSTRACT: A 1-inch LaBr3:Ce gamma ray scintillation detector, characterized by high time and energy resolution, was installed to advance energetic particle physics studies in Large Helical Device (LHD). We reduced the size of the scintillator from 3 inches to 1 inch to reinforce the radiation shielding to reduce the unwanted signal in the detector induced by fast neutrons and stray gamma rays according to the commissioning results. The radiation shielding composed of 10% borated polyethylene and lead was redesigned to suppress the gamma-ray induced signal based on the Monte Carlo three-dimensional neutron and gamma-ray transport code MCNP6. We increased the lead thickness from 50 mm to 77.5 mm to suppress the stray gamma ray effect. The gamma ray spectrum was measured in the hydrogen neutral beam heated deuterium plasma 24 with 6 LiF pellet injection. We might obtain a gamma ray peak near 0.48 MeV due to the 6 Li(d,p' γ)⁷Li reaction.

26 KEYWORDS: Large Helical Device; LaBr₃: Ce scintillator; Gamma ray detector; Nuclear fusion.

Contents

1. Introduction

 In the measurement and control of a fusion-burning plasma, gamma ray diagnostics are one of the fundamental tools to measure energy production complementary to neutron flux measurement in deuterium-tritium (D-T) plasmas [1]. In the current study performed in D or D- T plasma experiments, gamma ray detectors were developed for fuel temperature or MeV ion diagnostics [2, 3]. Measurement of ion temperature was performed by the measurement of 45 gamma-ray flux from the ${}^{10}B(d,n){}^{11}C$ reaction in the CTR tokamak [4]. A two-dimensional spatial profile of 3.5 MeV alpha particles in D-T plasmas was measured in the Joint European 47 Torus JET using 4.44 MeV gamma rays due to the ${}^{9}Be(\alpha, n\gamma) {}^{12}C$ reaction [5, 6]. Moreover, the gamma ray detector is proposed as the confined alpha particle diagnostics [7] using neutron attenuator [8, 9] as well as lost alpha particle diagnostics [10] in the ITER D-T phase. Gamma ray diagnostics are also important in so-called aneutronic fusion. Previously, a gamma ray 51 detector was utilized to study the D^{-3} He discharge in the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor using 52 16.7 MeV gamma rays created by the ³He(d,γ)⁵Li reaction [11, 12]. Recently, the study of 53 fusion reactors based on the $p^{-1}B$ reaction has again become popular, especially among startup companies [13]. In an aneutronic fusion study, a gamma ray monitor is a potential candidate for a fusion power monitor [14, 15]. Deuterium operation of the Large Helical Device (LHD) was performed from 2017 to 2022 [16]. Because the deuterium experiment is the first deuterium experiment in large stellarators/helical devices, one of the targets of the experiment was to enhance the energetic ion confinement study in helical systems toward helical-type fusion reactors [17-19]. The classical confinement of energetic ions [20-23] as well as energetic ion transport due to magnetohydrodynamics [24-29] have been studied using comprehensive neutron diagnostics [30-38]. The installation of gamma ray systems was planned [39] to understand the MeV ion confinement created by the ion

cyclotron range of frequency wave heating experiments [40]. Additionally, gamma ray

64 diagnostics played an important role in knock-on tail observation [41-43] through the ${}^{6}Li(d,$

65 p' ³Be reaction [44, 45] and a study toward aneutronic p-¹¹B fusion [46]. In the commissioning

 of gamma ray diagnostics based on a large volume LaBr3:Ce detector conducted in LHD [47], the gamma ray signal suffered from prompt gamma rays induced by neutrons. In this manuscript, improvement of gamma ray diagnostics and initial results of gamma ray spectrum 69 measurements in ⁶LiF pellet injection discharge were reported.

2. Installation of high-time resolution gamma ray diagnostic

2.1 Experimental Setups

 A LaBr3:Ce scintillation detector was installed on the outboard LHD diagnostic port, as shown on the left side of Figure 1. The detector was immersed in the thick radiation shielding (Figure 1 right). The size of the LaBr3:Ce scintillator with 8% Ce dope had a cylindrical shape with a height of 1 inch and a diameter of 1 inch. The LaBr3:Ce scintillator [48] is relatively sensitive to gamma rays compared with NaI:Tl due to its relatively heavy weight density of 5.2 g/cc [49]. The energy resolution of the detector was approximately 3% to the 662 keV gamma ray, and the pulse width is 100 ns. The scintillator was directly coupled with the conventional 1- inch photomultiplier tube (H10580-100, Hamamatsu K.K.), which could be operated in the 80 relatively high pulse counting rate region i.e., 10^6 pulse per second. The shielding box was composed of three layers: steel SS400 for the magnetic shield, lead for the gamma ray shield, and 10% borated polyethylene for the fast neutron shield, as shown in Figure 1 right. The thickness of steel was 10 mm to avoid the magnetic field effect on the photomultiplier tube because the magnetic field at the detector position was up to 30 mT. The thickness of lead was 77.5 mm, which was 27.5 mm thicker than the previous design to reduce stray gamma rays.

 The expected performance of shielding was evaluated using the Monte Carlo neutron transport calculation MCNP6 [50] based on a simplified LHD model [51]. The model has been utilized for evaluating neutron and gamma-ray distributions in the LHD torus hall and validated with experiments using activation foil methods [52, 53]. In this calculation, the plasma neutron source was assumed to be a simple torus with 99.5% deuterium-deuterium and 0.05% deuterium-tritium neutrons based on a so-called triton burnup experiment conducted in LHD [54], as performed in previous studies [55, 56]. Figure 2 shows the neutron and gamma ray flux map obtained by the transport calculation. The expected neutron and gamma ray fluxes at the 94 detector at the total neutron emission rate of $1.9x10^{16}$ n/s are $\sim 3x10^8$ and $\sim 2x10^9$, respectively. 95 Here, the expected total neutron emission rate of $1.9x10^{16}$ n/s predicted in advance of the LHD deuterium experiments [57] was selected as the reference. The gamma-ray flux from the side 97 and rear was slightly reduced compared with the -inch LaBr₃:Ce detector case due to the increase in the thickness of lead.

99 The block diagram of the control and data acquisition of the LaBr_3 : Ce detector is shown in Figure 3. The LaBr3:Ce detector signal is directly fed into the fast data acquisition systems with 101 a 60 m double shield coaxial cable (3D-FB). The fast data acquisition system is composed of a 14 bit 1 GHz digital-to-analog converter, field programmable gate array, and 1 GB dynamic random access memory (APV8102-14MWPSAGb, Techno AP) developed for a vertical neutron camera in LHD [58]. Note that the input impedance of the data acquisition system is 50 Ohm. The trigger time and 64 points of the waveform were simultaneously stored in the memory when the signal was over the threshold. The pulse height spectrum was obtained with 107 postprocessing using the trigger time and waveform data. The high voltage of the LaBr₃:Ce detector was externally controlled by a 4 channel up to -3000 V high voltage module (APV3304, Techno AP) via the LHD LABCOM service [59].

2.2 In situ energy calibration and high voltage scan

111 We performed an in situ energy calibration using a ^{60}Co gamma ray source. We placed the gamma ray source in front of the LaBr3:Ce detector separated by a radiation shield. We changed the high voltage from 800 V to 1200 V with 100 V steps. The two peaks corresponding to 1.173 MeV and 1.332 MeV were clearly obtained, as shown on the left side of Figure 4. Here, the energy resolution of the LaBr3:Ce detector for 1.173 MeV was evaluated to be 6%. As expected, the corresponding pulse height nonlinearly increased as the voltage increased (Figure 4 right). By using both 1.173 MeV and 1.332 MeV peaks, the relationship between the gamma ray energy and pulse height at a high voltage of 800 V was obtained as [Gamma ray energy (MeV)] 119 = 5.865 x [Pulse height (V)].

3. Initial result of gamma ray measurement

3.1 Operation limit of the detector

122 We performed the experiment to determine the operation limit of the LaBr_3 : Ce detector under relatively low total neutron emission rate (*S*n) experiments (Figure 5 top). Here, both fast neutrons and prompt gamma rays induced signals [60, 61]. The experiment was performed with extremely low density plasma in a relatively low magnetic field strength with an outward 126 shifted configuration to achieve S_n on the order of 10^{12} to 10^{13} n/s. Here, a low-density plasma was used for less deposition of the neutral beam injection. The low magnetic field strength and outward shifted configuration were due to the relatively poor confinement of beam ions and relatively low electron temperature inducing a short slowing time of beam ions. Figure 5 bottom 130 shows the pulse count rate of the LaBr₃:Ce detector as a function of S_n . The relationship is different in tangential negative ion source and perpendicular positive ion source phases. The difference could potentially cause by the difference in neutron energy or neutron anisotropy [62- 65]. The limitation of the pulse count rate of the LaBr3:Ce detector was approximately 200 kcps, 134 where S_n was below 10^{13} n/s.

3.2 Gamma ray spectrum measurement

 We measured the gamma ray spectrum in hydrogen-neutral-beam-heated deuterium plasma 137 discharge with ⁶LiF pellet injection (Figure 6 top). The plasma was initiated by electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) [66] and sustained by negative ion based neutral beam injections (NB1, NB2 and NB3) [67]. Positive ion-based neutral beam injections (NB4 and NB5) were utilized to measure the ion temperature and Li density profile by charge exchange 141 recombination spectroscopy [68]. Here, the Li in the ⁶LiF pellet contained 95% enriched ⁶Li to 142 avoid the ${}^{7}Li(d,t){}^{6}Li$ reaction. The significant increase in electron density measured by an interferometer [69] and significant decrease in the central electron temperature measured by 144 Thomson scattering diagnostics [70] were observed by ⁶LiF pellet injection at a *t* of ~3.87 s. The 3 He proportional counter placed at the 4-O port of the neutron flux monitor [71, 72] showed that 146 S_n at this discharge was below $3x10^9$ n/s. Therefore, the expected pulse count rate of the LaBr3:Ce detector due to the neutron effect was negligibly small below 0.2 counts per 10 ms. Figure 6 bottom shows the gamma ray spectrum obtained at *t* of 3.9 to 4.1 s with 20 shot 149 accumulations. The observed gamma ray peak at \sim 0.48 MeV was potentially due to the ⁶Li(d, 150 $\vec{p'}$ γ)⁷Li reaction.

4. Summary

 Fast-response gamma ray diagnostics based on a 1-inch diameter and 1-inch height LaBr3:Ce scintillator were installed in the LHD to advance energetic ion physics research in fusion plasmas. The radiation shield for the LaBr3:Ce detector was redesigned based on the three-dimensional radiation transport code to suppress the unwanted neutron and gamma ray 156 effect on the detector. In situ calibration of the LaBr₃:Ce detector was performed using a ${}^{60}Co$ 157 gamma ray source. The LaBr₃:Ce detector could be operated under S_n below 10¹³ n/s. The operation limit was expanded by more than two orders of magnitude compared with the 159 previous large volume LaBr₃:Ce detector due to the decrease in LaBr₃:Ce scintillator size and 160 increase in lead thickness. A gamma ray peak at ~ 0.48 MeV, possibly induced by the ⁶Li(d, \vec{p} ^{'γ})⁷Li reaction, was observed in the ⁶LiF pellet injection hydrogen neutral beam heated deuterium plasmas.

Acknowledgments

 We are pleased to acknowledge the assistance of the LHD Experiment Group. This work is partly funded Program Management Unit for Human Resources and Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, PMU-B (B37G660016). One of the authors, K. Ogawa, is pleased to acknowledge the assistance of Y. Takeuchi of Nihon University, S. Hasegawa of Rikkyo University, S. Nozaki of Gifu University, and S. Takasu of Fukui University.

Data availability

 The data supporting the findings of this study are available in the LHD experiment data repository at https://doi.org/10.57451/lhd.analyzed-data.

Figure 1. (left) Top view of the LHD, LaBr₃:Ce detector, neutral beam injections, and neutron flux monitor. (right) Cross section of gamma ray diagnostics and diagnostic port. LaBr₃:Ce detector is immersed into the radiation shielding composed of 72.5 mm thick lead and 10% borated polyethylene.

Figure 2. Two dimensional flux maps of the three dimensional radiation transport calculation for (left) neutron and (right) gamma ray. Here, total neutron emission rate was set to $1.9x10^{16}$ n/s.

Figure 3. Block diagram of the gamma ray diagnostics.

Figure 4. (left) Pulse height spectrum obtained in an in situ calibration of LaBr₃:Ce detector using ⁶⁰Co gamma ray source with changing high voltage. (right) Pulse height due to 1.173 MeV gamma ray as a function of high voltage applied to the detector.

Figure 5. (top) Time evolution of relatively low total neutron emission discharge. (bottom) Pulse count rate as a function of total neutron emission rate. Pulse count rate linearly increases with S_n below an S_n value of $1.0x10^{13}$ n/s.

Figure 6. (top) Time evolution of the ⁶LiF pellet injection discharge. Here, all neutral beam injection (NB) injects a hydrogen beam into the deuterium plasmas. (bottom) Gamma ray spectrum obtained by summation of 20 discharges.

 References [1] V. G. Kiptily, F. E. Cecil and S. S. Medley *Gamma ray diagnostics of high temperature*

 magnetically confined fusion plasmas 2006 *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **48** R59 10.1088/0741-3335/48/8/R01 [2] S. S. Medley, F. E. Cecil, D. Cole, M. A. Conway and F. J. Wilkinson *Fusion gamma*

diagnostics 1985 *Review of Scientific Instruments* **56** 975 10.1063/1.1138009

- [32] N. Pu, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa and M. Isobe *Scintillating fiber detectors for time evolution measurement of the triton burnup on the Large Helical Device* 2018 *Rev Sci Instrum* **89** 10I105 10.1063/1.5035290
- [33] E. Takada, T. Amitani, A. Fujisaki, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, M. Isobe, J. Jo, S. Matsuyama, M. Miwa and I. Murata *Design optimization of a fast-neutron detector with scintillating fibers for triton burnup experiments at fusion experimental devices* 2019 *Rev Sci Instrum* **90** 043503 10.1063/1.5074131
- [34] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, S. Sangaroon, E. Takada, T. Nakada, S. Murakami, J. Jo, G. Q. Zhong, Y. Zhang, S. Tamaki and I. Murata *Time-resolved secondary triton burnup 14 MeV neutron measurement by a new scintillating fiber detector in middle total neutron emission ranges in deuterium large helical device plasma experiments* 2021 *AAPPS Bulletin* **31** 10.1007/s43673- 021-00023-2
- [35] N. Pu, T. Nishitani, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, H. Kawase, T. Tanaka, S. Y. Li, S. Yoshihashi and A. Uritani *In situ calibration of neutron activation system on the large helical device* 2017 *Rev Sci Instrum* **88** 113302 10.1063/1.5009475
- [36] M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, N. Pu, H. Kawase, R. Seki, H. Nuga, E. Takada, S. Murakami, Y. Suzuki, M. Yokoyama, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Fusion neutron production with deuterium neutral beam injection and enhancement of energetic-particle physics study in the large helical device* 2018 *Nuclear Fusion* **58** 082004 ARTN 082004
- 10.1088/1741-4326/aabcf4
- [37] M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, H. Miyake, T. Kobuchi, N. Pu, H. Kawase, E. Takada, T. Tanaka, S. Y. Li, S. Yoshihashi, A. Uritani, J. Jo, S. Murakami, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Neutron Diagnostics in the Large Helical Device* 2018 *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science* **46** 2050 10.1109/Tps.2018.2836987
- [38] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe and M. Osakabe *Progress on Integrated Neutron Diagnostics for Deuterium Plasma Experiments and Energetic Particle Confinement Studies in the Large Helical Device During the Campaigns from FY2017 to FY2019* 2021 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **16** 1102023 10.1585/pfr.16.1102023
- [39] M. Isobe, H. Yamanishi, M. Osakabe, H. Miyake, H. Tomita, K. Watanabe, H. Iwai, Y. Nomura, N. Nishio, K. Ishii, J. H. Kaneko, J. Kawarabayashi, E. Takada, A. Uritani, M. Sasao, T. Iguchi, Y. Takeiri and H. Yamada *Fusion product diagnostics planned for Large Helical Device*
- *deuterium experiment* 2010 *Rev Sci Instrum* **81** 10D310 10.1063/1.3492383 [40] S. Kamio, K. Saito, R. Seki, H. Kasahara, M. Kanda, G. Nomura and T. Seki *Study of ion cyclotron range of frequencies heating characteristics in deuterium plasma in the Large Helical Device* 2021 *Nuclear Fusion* **62** 10.1088/1741-4326/ac359d
- [41] H. Matsuura, S. Sugiyama, K. Kimura, S. Kajimoto, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, Y. Kawamoto, M. Isobe and M. Osakabe *Observation of a nuclear-elastic-scattering effect caused by energetic protons on deuteron slowing-down behaviour on the Large Helical Device* 2020 *Nuclear Fusion* **60** 066007 10.1088/1741-4326/ab7e00
- [42] H. Matsuura, K. Kimura, D. Umezaki, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, Y. Kawamoto, T. Oishi, M. Goto, N. Tamura, M. Osakabe, T. Nishitani and S. Sugiyama *Indirect energy transfer channel between fast ions via nuclear elastic scattering observed on the large helical device* 2022 *Physics of Plasmas* **29** 10.1063/5.0097720
- [43] Y. Kawamoto and H. Matsuura *Method for determining the shape and size of a knock-on tail using the Doppler-broadened γ-ray emission spectrum* 2019 *Fusion Engineering and Design* **144** 62 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2019.04.062
- [44] H. Matsuura, S. Sugiyama, S. Kajimoto, D. Sawada, Y. Nishimura and Y. Kawamoto *Knock-on Tail Formation Due to Nuclear Elastic Scattering and Its Observation Method Using <i>γ</i>- Ray-Generating ⁶Li+d Reaction in Tokamak Deuterium Plasmas* 2016 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **11** 1403105 10.1585/pfr.11.1403105
- [45] H. Matsuura, M. Nakamura and Y. Nakao *Use of γ-Ray-Generating 6Li+D Reaction for Verification of Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck Simulation and Knock-on Tail Diagnostic in Neutral-Beam-Injected Plasmas* 2007 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **2** S1078 10.1585/pfr.2.S1078
- [46] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, H. Nuga, R. Seki, S. Ohdachi and M. Osakabe *Evaluation of Alpha Particle Emission Rate Due to the p-(11B) Fusion Reaction in the Large Helical Device* 2022 *Fusion Science and Technology* **78** 175 10.1080/15361055.2021.1973294
- [47] K. Ogawa, S. Sangaroon and M. Isobe *Large Volume and Fast Response Gamma Ray Diagnostic in the Large Helical Device* 2023 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **18** 2402016 10.1585/pfr.18.2402016
- [48] K. Krmer, T. Schleid, M. Schulze, W. Urland and G. Meyer *Three Bromides of Lanthanum: LaBr2, La2Br5, and LaBr3* 1989 *Zeitschrift fr anorganische und allgemeine Chemie* **575** 61 10.1002/zaac.19895750109
- [49] [https://www.shalomeo.com/Scintillators/Scintillation-Crystal-Materials/LaBr3-Ce/product-](https://www.shalomeo.com/Scintillators/Scintillation-Crystal-Materials/LaBr3-Ce/product-395.html)[395.html](https://www.shalomeo.com/Scintillators/Scintillation-Crystal-Materials/LaBr3-Ce/product-395.html)
- [50] C. J. Werner, J. S. Bull, C. J. Solomon, F. B. Brown, G. W. McKinney, M. E. Rising, D. A. Dixon, R. L. Martz, H. G. Hughes, L. J. Cox, A. J. Zukaitis, J. C. Armstrong, R. A. Forster and L. Casswell 2018 *MCNP Version 6.2 Release Notes*. Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI))
- [51] T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, H. Kawase, N. Pu, T. Ozaki and M. Isobe *Monte Carlo calculation of the neutron and gamma-ray distributions inside the LHD experimental building and shielding design for diagnostics* 2019 *Progress in Nuclear Science and Technology* **6** 48 10.15669/pnst.6.48
- [52] M. Kobayashi, T. Tanaka, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, A. Kato, T. Saze, S. Yoshihashi, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *First measurements of thermal neutron distribution in the LHD torus hall generated by deuterium experiments* 2018 *Fusion Engineering and Design* **137** 191 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.09.013
- [53] S. Yoshihashi, H. Yamada, M. Kobayashi, T. Nishitani, A. Yamazaki, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa and A. Uritani *Evaluation of Induced Radioactivity Generated during LHD Deuterium Plasma Experiments* 2022 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **17** 2405096 10.1585/pfr.17.2405096
- [54] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani, S. Murakami, R. Seki, H. Nuga, S. Kamio, Y. Fujiwara, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Saito, S. Maeta, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Energetic ion confinement studies using comprehensive neutron diagnostics in the Large Helical Device* 2019 *Nuclear Fusion* **59** 076017 ARTN 076017
- 10.1088/1741-4326/ab14bc
- [55] M. I. Kobayashi, N. Suzuki, T. Saze, H. Miyake, K. Nishimura, H. Hayashi, T. Kobuchi, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe and M. Osakabe *The Evaluation of a Simple Measurement Method using NaI(Tl) Scintillation Survey-Meter for Radiation Safety Management of Radioactivated Armor Tiles of LHD Vacuum Vessel* 2021 Radiation Safety
- Management **20** 20 10.12950/rsm.210416
- [56] T. Tanaka, M. Kobayashi, S. Yoshihashi, A. Uritani, K. Watanabe, A. Yamazaki, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa and M. Isobe *Measurement of Thermal and Epithermal Neutron Flux Distribution in the Torus Hall of LHD using Activation Method in the First Deuterium Experiment Campaign* 2019 *Plasma and Fusion Research* **14** 3405162 10.1585/pfr.14.3405162
- [57] M. Osakabe, Y. Takeiri, T. Morisaki, G. Motojima, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, M. Tanaka, S. Murakami, A. Shimizu, K. Nagaoka, H. Takahashi, K. Nagasaki, H. Takahashi, T. Fujita, Y. Oya, M. Sakamoto, Y. Ueda, T. Akiyama, H. Kasahara, S. Sakakibara, R. Sakamoto, M. Tokitani, H. Yamada, M. Yokoyama, Y. Yoshimura and L. E. Grp *Current Status of Large Helical Device and Its Prospect for Deuterium Experiment* 2017 *Fusion Science and Technology* **72** 199 10.1080/15361055.2017.1335145
- [58] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani and T. Kobuchi *The large helical device vertical neutron camera operating in the MHz counting rate range* 2018 *Rev Sci Instrum* **89** 113509 10.1063/1.5054818
- 397 [59] H. Nakanishi, M. Ohsuna, M. Kojima, S. Imazu, M. Nonomura, M. Hasegawa, K. Nakamura, A.
398 Higashijima, M. Yoshikawa, M. Emoto, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nagayama and K. Kawahata Data Higashijima, M. Yoshikawa, M. Emoto, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nagayama and K. Kawahata *Data Acquisition and Management System of LHD* 2010 *Fusion Science and Technology* **58** 445 10.13182/fst10-a10830

