Gamma ray diagnostics for high time resolution measurement in large helical device

メタデータ	言語: English
	出版者: IOP Publishing
	公開日: 2023-09-20
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: OGAWA, Kunihiro, SANGAROON, Siriyaporn,
	LIAO, Longyong, MATSUURA, Hideaki, KIMURA, Kento,
	UMEZAKI, Daisuke, NAOI, M., FUKUDA, T., WAKISAKA,
	S.
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/10655/0002000043
	This work is licensed under a Creative Commons

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



# Gamma Ray Diagnostics for High Time Resolution Measurement in Large Helical Device

# K. Ogawa<sup>a,b,1</sup>, S. Sangaroon<sup>c</sup>, L. Y. Liao<sup>b</sup>, H. Matsuura<sup>d</sup>, K. Kimura<sup>d</sup>, D. Umezaki<sup>d</sup>, M. Naoi<sup>d</sup>, T. Fukuda<sup>d</sup>, S. Wakisaka<sup>d</sup>, and M. Isobe<sup>a,b</sup>

- 5 <sup>a</sup> National Institute for Fusion Science, National Institutes of Natural Sciences,
- 6 Toki, 509-5292, Japan
- 7 <sup>b</sup> The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, SOKENDAI
- 8 Toki, 509-5292, Japan
- 9 <sup>c</sup> Mahasarakham University
- 10 Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand
- 11 <sup>d</sup> Kyushu University,
- 12 Fukuoka, Japan
- 13
- 14 *E-mail*: ogawa.kunihiro@nifs.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: A 1-inch LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce gamma ray scintillation detector, characterized by high time and 15 16 energy resolution, was installed to advance energetic particle physics studies in Large Helical Device (LHD). We reduced the size of the scintillator from 3 inches to 1 inch to reinforce the 17 radiation shielding to reduce the unwanted signal in the detector induced by fast neutrons and 18 stray gamma rays according to the commissioning results. The radiation shielding composed of 19 10% borated polyethylene and lead was redesigned to suppress the gamma-ray induced signal 20 based on the Monte Carlo three-dimensional neutron and gamma-ray transport code MCNP6. 21 22 We increased the lead thickness from 50 mm to 77.5 mm to suppress the stray gamma ray effect. The gamma ray spectrum was measured in the hydrogen neutral beam heated deuterium plasma 23 24 with <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection. We might obtain a gamma ray peak near 0.48 MeV due to the  $^{6}\text{Li}(d,\mathbf{p'}\gamma)^{7}\text{Li reaction}$ . 25

26 KEYWORDS: Large Helical Device; LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillator; Gamma ray detector; Nuclear fusion.

#### 27 Contents

28	1. Introduction	1
29	2. Installation of high-time resolution gamma ray diagnostic	2
30	2.1 Experimental Setups	2
31	2.2 In situ energy calibration and high voltage scan	3
32	3. Initial result of gamma ray measurement	3
33	3.1 Operation limit of the detector	3
34	3.2 Gamma ray spectrum measurement	3
35	4. Summary	4
36		
27		

37 38

#### 39 **1. Introduction**

In the measurement and control of a fusion-burning plasma, gamma ray diagnostics are one of 40 the fundamental tools to measure energy production complementary to neutron flux 41 42 measurement in deuterium-tritium (D-T) plasmas [1]. In the current study performed in D or D-43 T plasma experiments, gamma ray detectors were developed for fuel temperature or MeV ion diagnostics [2, 3]. Measurement of ion temperature was performed by the measurement of 44 45 gamma-ray flux from the  ${}^{10}B(d,n){}^{11}C$  reaction in the CTR tokamak [4]. A two-dimensional spatial profile of 3.5 MeV alpha particles in D-T plasmas was measured in the Joint European 46 Torus JET using 4.44 MeV gamma rays due to the  ${}^{9}Be(\alpha,n\gamma)^{12}C$  reaction [5, 6]. Moreover, the 47 gamma ray detector is proposed as the confined alpha particle diagnostics [7] using neutron 48 49 attenuator [8, 9] as well as lost alpha particle diagnostics [10] in the ITER D-T phase. Gamma 50 ray diagnostics are also important in so-called aneutronic fusion. Previously, a gamma ray 51 detector was utilized to study the D-<sup>3</sup>He discharge in the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor using 16.7 MeV gamma rays created by the  ${}^{3}$ He(d, $\gamma$ )<sup>5</sup>Li reaction [11, 12]. Recently, the study of 52 fusion reactors based on the p-<sup>11</sup>B reaction has again become popular, especially among startup 53 54 companies [13]. In an aneutronic fusion study, a gamma ray monitor is a potential candidate for a fusion power monitor [14, 15]. 55 56 Deuterium operation of the Large Helical Device (LHD) was performed from 2017 to 2022 [16]. Because the deuterium experiment is the first deuterium experiment in large stellarators/helical 57 58 devices, one of the targets of the experiment was to enhance the energetic ion confinement study 59 in helical systems toward helical-type fusion reactors [17-19]. The classical confinement of

energetic ions [20-23] as well as energetic ion transport due to magnetohydrodynamics [24-29]
have been studied using comprehensive neutron diagnostics [30-38]. The installation of gamma

62 ray systems was planned [39] to understand the MeV ion confinement created by the ion

63 cyclotron range of frequency wave heating experiments [40]. Additionally, gamma ray

64 diagnostics played an important role in knock-on tail observation [41-43] through the <sup>6</sup>Li(d,

65  $p'\gamma)^8$ Be reaction [44, 45] and a study toward aneutronic p-<sup>11</sup>B fusion [46]. In the commissioning

of gamma ray diagnostics based on a large volume LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector conducted in LHD [47],
the gamma ray signal suffered from prompt gamma rays induced by neutrons. In this manuscript,
improvement of gamma ray diagnostics and initial results of gamma ray spectrum
measurements in <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection discharge were reported.

#### 70 **2. Installation of high-time resolution gamma ray diagnostic**

#### 71 2.1 Experimental Setups

72 A LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillation detector was installed on the outboard LHD diagnostic port, as 73 shown on the left side of Figure 1. The detector was immersed in the thick radiation shielding (Figure 1 right). The size of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillator with 8% Ce dope had a cylindrical shape 74 75 with a height of 1 inch and a diameter of 1 inch. The LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillator [48] is relatively 76 sensitive to gamma rays compared with NaI:Tl due to its relatively heavy weight density of 5.2 g/cc [49]. The energy resolution of the detector was approximately 3% to the 662 keV gamma 77 78 ray, and the pulse width is 100 ns. The scintillator was directly coupled with the conventional 1-79 inch photomultiplier tube (H10580-100, Hamamatsu K.K.), which could be operated in the relatively high pulse counting rate region i.e., 10<sup>6</sup> pulse per second. The shielding box was 80 composed of three layers: steel SS400 for the magnetic shield, lead for the gamma ray shield, 81 and 10% borated polyethylene for the fast neutron shield, as shown in Figure 1 right. The 82 thickness of steel was 10 mm to avoid the magnetic field effect on the photomultiplier tube 83 because the magnetic field at the detector position was up to 30 mT. The thickness of lead was 84 77.5 mm, which was 27.5 mm thicker than the previous design to reduce stray gamma rays. 85

The expected performance of shielding was evaluated using the Monte Carlo neutron 86 transport calculation MCNP6 [50] based on a simplified LHD model [51]. The model has been 87 utilized for evaluating neutron and gamma-ray distributions in the LHD torus hall and validated 88 89 with experiments using activation foil methods [52, 53]. In this calculation, the plasma neutron 90 source was assumed to be a simple torus with 99.5% deuterium-deuterium and 0.05%91 deuterium-tritium neutrons based on a so-called triton burnup experiment conducted in LHD 92 [54], as performed in previous studies [55, 56]. Figure 2 shows the neutron and gamma ray flux map obtained by the transport calculation. The expected neutron and gamma ray fluxes at the 93 detector at the total neutron emission rate of  $1.9 \times 10^{16}$  n/s are  $\sim 3 \times 10^{8}$  and  $\sim 2 \times 10^{9}$ , respectively. 94 Here, the expected total neutron emission rate of 1.9x10<sup>16</sup> n/s predicted in advance of the LHD 95 96 deuterium experiments [57] was selected as the reference. The gamma-ray flux from the side 97 and rear was slightly reduced compared with the 3-inch LaBr3:Ce detector case due to the 98 increase in the thickness of lead.

99 The block diagram of the control and data acquisition of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector is shown in 100 Figure 3. The LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector signal is directly fed into the fast data acquisition systems with a 60 m double shield coaxial cable (3D-FB). The fast data acquisition system is composed of a 101 14 bit 1 GHz digital-to-analog converter, field programmable gate array, and 1 GB dynamic 102 103 random access memory (APV8102-14MWPSAGb, Techno AP) developed for a vertical neutron camera in LHD [58]. Note that the input impedance of the data acquisition system is 50 Ohm. 104 105 The trigger time and 64 points of the waveform were simultaneously stored in the memory 106 when the signal was over the threshold. The pulse height spectrum was obtained with postprocessing using the trigger time and waveform data. The high voltage of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce 107 detector was externally controlled by a 4 channel up to -3000 V high voltage module (APV3304, 108 Techno AP) via the LHD LABCOM service [59]. 109

#### 110 2.2 In situ energy calibration and high voltage scan

We performed an in situ energy calibration using a <sup>60</sup>Co gamma ray source. We placed the 111 gamma ray source in front of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector separated by a radiation shield. We changed 112 the high voltage from 800 V to 1200 V with 100 V steps. The two peaks corresponding to 1.173 113 114 MeV and 1.332 MeV were clearly obtained, as shown on the left side of Figure 4. Here, the energy resolution of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector for 1.173 MeV was evaluated to be 6%. As expected, 115 the corresponding pulse height nonlinearly increased as the voltage increased (Figure 4 right). 116 By using both 1.173 MeV and 1.332 MeV peaks, the relationship between the gamma ray 117 energy and pulse height at a high voltage of 800 V was obtained as [Gamma ray energy (MeV)] 118 = 5.865 x [Pulse height (V)]. 119

#### 120 3. Initial result of gamma ray measurement

#### 121 **3.1 Operation limit of the detector**

122 We performed the experiment to determine the operation limit of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector 123 under relatively low total neutron emission rate  $(S_n)$  experiments (Figure 5 top). Here, both fast neutrons and prompt gamma rays induced signals [60, 61]. The experiment was performed with 124 extremely low density plasma in a relatively low magnetic field strength with an outward 125 shifted configuration to achieve  $S_n$  on the order of  $10^{12}$  to  $10^{13}$  n/s. Here, a low-density plasma 126 127 was used for less deposition of the neutral beam injection. The low magnetic field strength and outward shifted configuration were due to the relatively poor confinement of beam ions and 128 129 relatively low electron temperature inducing a short slowing time of beam ions. Figure 5 bottom 130 shows the pulse count rate of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector as a function of S<sub>n</sub>. The relationship is different in tangential negative ion source and perpendicular positive ion source phases. The 131 difference could potentially cause by the difference in neutron energy or neutron anisotropy [62-132 65]. The limitation of the pulse count rate of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector was approximately 200 kcps, 133 where  $S_n$  was below  $10^{13}$  n/s. 134

#### 135 **3.2 Gamma ray spectrum measurement**

136 We measured the gamma ray spectrum in hydrogen-neutral-beam-heated deuterium plasma 137 discharge with <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection (Figure 6 top). The plasma was initiated by electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) [66] and sustained by negative ion based neutral beam 138 injections (NB1, NB2 and NB3) [67]. Positive ion-based neutral beam injections (NB4 and 139 140 NB5) were utilized to measure the ion temperature and Li density profile by charge exchange recombination spectroscopy [68]. Here, the Li in the <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet contained 95% enriched <sup>6</sup>Li to 141 avoid the  $^{7}Li(d,t)^{6}Li$  reaction. The significant increase in electron density measured by an 142 143 interferometer [69] and significant decrease in the central electron temperature measured by Thomson scattering diagnostics [70] were observed by <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection at a t of  $\sim$ 3.87 s. The 144 <sup>3</sup>He proportional counter placed at the 4-O port of the neutron flux monitor [71, 72] showed that 145 146  $S_n$  at this discharge was below  $3x10^9$  n/s. Therefore, the expected pulse count rate of the 147 LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector due to the neutron effect was negligibly small below 0.2 counts per 10 ms. Figure 6 bottom shows the gamma ray spectrum obtained at t of 3.9 to 4.1 s with 20 shot 148 149 accumulations. The observed gamma ray peak at  $\sim 0.48$  MeV was potentially due to the <sup>6</sup>Li(d,  $\mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{\gamma})^{7}$ Li reaction. 150

# 151 **4. Summary**

Fast-response gamma ray diagnostics based on a 1-inch diameter and 1-inch height 152 LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillator were installed in the LHD to advance energetic ion physics research in 153 fusion plasmas. The radiation shield for the LaBr3:Ce detector was redesigned based on the 154 155 three-dimensional radiation transport code to suppress the unwanted neutron and gamma ray effect on the detector. In situ calibration of the LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector was performed using a <sup>60</sup>Co 156 gamma ray source. The LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector could be operated under S<sub>n</sub> below 10<sup>13</sup> n/s. The 157 158 operation limit was expanded by more than two orders of magnitude compared with the previous large volume LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector due to the decrease in LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce scintillator size and 159 increase in lead thickness. A gamma ray peak at ~0.48 MeV, possibly induced by the <sup>6</sup>Li(d, 160  $p'\gamma$ )<sup>7</sup>Li reaction, was observed in the <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection hydrogen neutral beam heated 161 162 deuterium plasmas.

# 163 Acknowledgments

We are pleased to acknowledge the assistance of the LHD Experiment Group. This work is partly funded Program Management Unit for Human Resources and Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, PMU-B (B37G660016). One of the authors, K. Ogawa, is pleased to acknowledge the assistance of Y. Takeuchi of Nihon University, S. Hasegawa of Rikkyo University, S. Nozaki of Gifu University, and S. Takasu of Fukui University.

# 169 Data availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available in the LHD experiment data repository at https://doi.org/10.57451/lhd.analyzed-data.

172

173



**Figure 1.** (left) Top view of the LHD, LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector, neutral beam injections, and neutron flux monitor. (right) Cross section of gamma ray diagnostics and diagnostic port. LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector is immersed into the radiation shielding composed of 72.5 mm thick lead and 10% borated polyethylene.



**Figure 2.** Two dimensional flux maps of the three dimensional radiation transport calculation for (left) neutron and (right) gamma ray. Here, total neutron emission rate was set to  $1.9 \times 10^{16}$  n/s.



Figure 3. Block diagram of the gamma ray diagnostics.



**Figure 4.** (left) Pulse height spectrum obtained in an in situ calibration of LaBr<sub>3</sub>:Ce detector using <sup>60</sup>Co gamma ray source with changing high voltage. (right) Pulse height due to 1.173 MeV gamma ray as a function of high voltage applied to the detector.



**Figure 5.** (top) Time evolution of relatively low total neutron emission discharge. (bottom) Pulse count rate as a function of total neutron emission rate. Pulse count rate linearly increases with  $S_n$  below an  $S_n$  value of  $1.0 \times 10^{13}$  n/s.



**Figure 6.** (top) Time evolution of the <sup>6</sup>LiF pellet injection discharge. Here, all neutral beam injection (NB) injects a hydrogen beam into the deuterium plasmas. (bottom) Gamma ray spectrum obtained by summation of 20 discharges.

179 180 References 181 182

 [1] V. G. Kiptily, F. E. Cecil and S. S. Medley Gamma ray diagnostics of high temperature magnetically confined fusion plasmas 2006 Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 48 R59 10.1088/0741-3335/48/8/R01

186 [2] S. S. Medley, F. E. Cecil, D. Cole, M. A. Conway and F. J. Wilkinson *Fusion gamma* 187 *diagnostics* 1985 *Review of Scientific Instruments* 56 975 10.1063/1.1138009

188 [3] F. E. Cecil and F. J. Wilkinson Measurement of the Ground-State Gamma-Ray Branching Ratio 189 of thedtReaction at Low Energies 1984 Physical Review Letters 53 767 190 10.1103/PhysRevLett.53.767 191 [4] F. E. Cecil, L. K. Len and R. J. Peterson The reaction 10B(d, n)11C as an ion temperature 192 plasma diagnostic 1980 Nuclear Instruments and Methods 175 293 10.1016/0029-193 554x(80)90743-0 194 [5] V. G. Kiptily, J. M. Adams, L. Bertalot, A. Murari, S. E. Sharapov, V. Yavorskij, B. Alper, R. 195 Barnsley, P. d. Vries, C. Gowers, L. G. Eriksson, P. J. Lomas, M. J. Mantsinen, A. Meigs, J. M. 196 Noterdaeme, F. P. Orsitto and J. E. contributors Gamma-ray imaging of D and 4He ions 197 accelerated by ion-cyclotron-resonance heating in JET plasmas 2005 Nuclear Fusion 45 L21 198 10.1088/0029-5515/45/5/101 199 M. Nocente, D. Rigamonti, V. Perseo, M. Tardocchi, G. Boltruczyk, A. Broslawski, A. Cremona, [6] 200 G. Croci, M. Gosk, V. Kiptily, S. Korolczuk, M. Mazzocco, A. Muraro, E. Strano, I. Zychor, G. 201 Gorini and J. E. T. Contributors Gamma-ray spectroscopy at MHz counting rates with a compact 202 LaBr(3) detector and silicon photomultipliers for fusion plasma applications 2016 Rev Sci 203 Instrum 87 11E714 10.1063/1.4961073 204 [7] I. N. Chugunov, A. E. Shevelev, D. B. Gin, V. G. Kiptily, G. Gorini, M. Nocente, M. Tardocchi, 205 D. N. Doinikov, V. O. Naidenov and E. M. Khilkevitch Development of gamma-ray diagnostics 206 for ITER 2011 Nuclear Fusion 51 10.1088/0029-5515/51/8/083010 207 V. G. Kiptilyi, A. V. Livke, V. I. Nagornyi, Y. Y. Nefedov, M. V. Savin, V. I. Semenov and V. [8] A. Chirkin Investigation of the parameters of neutron filters 1998 Technical Physics 43 471 208 209 10.1134/1.1259008 210 [9] I. N. Chugunov, A. E. Shevelev, D. B. Gin, V. O. Naidenov, V. Kiptily, T. Edlington and B. Syme Testing the neutron attenuator based on 6LiH for y-ray diagnostics of plasmas in the JET 211 tokamak 2011 Instruments and Experimental Techniques 51 166 10.1134/s0020441208020024 212 213 [10] V. G. Kiptily, A. E. Shevelev, V. Goloborodko, M. Kocan, E. Veshchev, T. Craciunescu, E. M. 214 Khilkevitch, I. Lengar, I. A. Polunovsky, K. Schoepf, S. Soare, V. Yavorskij and V. L. Zoita 215 Escaping alpha-particle monitor for burning plasmas 2018 Nuclear Fusion 58 10.1088/1741-216 4326/aab676 217 [11] F. E. Cecil and S. S. Medley Gamma ray measurements during deuterium and 3He discharges 218 on TFTR 1988 Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, 219 Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 271 628 10.1016/0168-9002(88)90333-6 220 [12] S. S. Medley, A. L. Roquemore and F. E. Cecil Absolute calibration of fusion gamma ray 221 detector on TFTR 1992 Review of Scientific Instruments 63 4857 10.1063/1.1143531 222 R. M. Magee, K. Ogawa, T. Tajima, I. Allfrey, H. Gota, P. McCarroll, S. Ohdachi, M. Isobe, S. [13] 223 Kamio, V. Klumper, H. Nuga, M. Shoji, S. Ziaei, M. W. Binderbauer and M. Osakabe First 224 measurements of p11B fusion in a magnetically confined plasma 2023 Nature Communications 225 14 10.1038/s41467-023-36655-1 226 [14] S. S. Medley, S. D. Scott, A. L. Roquemore and F. E. Cecil Performance of the fusion gamma 227 diagnostic on TFTR 1990 Review of Scientific Instruments 61 3226 10.1063/1.1141641 228 F. E. Cecil, H. Liu, J. C. Scorby and S. S. Medley Prompt gamma ray diagnostics of advanced [15] 229 fuel fusion plasmas 1990 Review of Scientific Instruments 61 3223 10.1063/1.1141640 230 [16] M. Osakabe, H. Takahashi, H. Yamada, K. Tanaka, T. Kobayashi, K. Ida, S. Ohdachi, J. Varela, 231 K. Ogawa, M. Kobayashi, K. Tsumori, K. Ikeda, S. Masuzaki, M. Tanaka, M. Nakata, S. 232 Murakami, S. Inagaki, K. Mukai, M. Sakamoto, K. Nagasaki, Y. Suzuki, M. Isobe, T. Morisaki 233 and T. L. E. Group Recent results from deuterium experiments on the large helical device and 234 their contribution to fusion reactor development 2022 Nuclear Fusion 62 042019 10.1088/1741-235 4326/ac3cda 236 [17] Y. Takeiri The Large Helical Device: Entering Deuterium Experiment Phase Toward Steady-237 State Helical Fusion Reactor Based on Achievements in Hydrogen Experiment Phase 2018 IEEE 238 Transactions on Plasma Science 46 2348 10.1109/Tps.2017.2784380 239 Y. Takeiri Prospect Toward Steady-State Helical Fusion Reactor Based on Progress of LHD [18] 240 Project Entering the Deuterium Experiment Phase 2018 IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science 241 46 1141 10.1109/Tps.2017.2771749

242	[19]	Y. Takeiri Advanced Helical Plasma Research towards a Steady-State Fusion Reactor by
243		Deuterium Experiments in Large Helical Device 2018 Atoms 6 69 10.3390/atoms6040069
244	[20]	H. Nuga, R. Seki, K. Ogawa, S. Kamio, Y. Fujiwara, H. Yamaguchi, M. Osakabe, M. Isobe, S.
245		Murakami and M. Yokoyama Analysis of NB Fast-Ion Loss Mechanisms in MHD Quiescent
246		LHD Plasmas 2021 Plasma and Fusion Research 16 2402052 10.1585/pfr.16.2402052
247	[21]	H. Nuga, R. Seki, K. Ogawa, S. Kamio, Y. Fujiwara, M. Osakabe, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani and M.
248		Yokoyama Studies of the fast ion confinement in the Large Helical Device by using neutron
249		measurement and integrated codes 2020 Journal of Plasma Physics 86
250		10.1017/s0022377820000525
251	[22]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani, S. Murakami, R. Seki, M. Nakata, F. Takada, H. Kawase, N.
2.52	[]	Pu and L. E. Grp Time-resolved triton hurnun measurement using the scintillating fiber detector
253		in the Large Helical Device 2018 Nuclear Fusion <b>58</b> 034002 ARTN 034002
254	10.1088	/1741-4326/aaa585
255	[23]	K Ogawa M Isobe T Nishitani R Seki H Nuga S Murakami M Nakata N Pu M
256	[20]	Osakabe J Jo M Cheon J Kim G O Zhong M Xiao L O Hu and L E Grn <i>Time</i>
250		dependent neutron emission rate analysis for neutral-heam-heated deuterium plasmas in a
258		helical system and tokamaks 2018 Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 60, 095010 ARTN
250		
260	10 1088	/1361-6587/aad4b7
260	[2/]	K Ogawa M Isobe H Kawase and T Nichitani Neutron Flux Measurement Using a Fast
261	[27]	Neutron Scintillation Datactor with High Tamporal Resolution on the Large Helical Davice 2018
262		Plasma and Fusion Research 13 3402068 10 1585/nfr 13 3402068
263	[25]	K Ogawa M Isobe H Kawase T Nishitani R Seki M Osakabe and I E Grn Effect of the
204	[23]	k. Ogawa, W. Isobe, H. Kawase, T. Misintani, K. Seki, W. Osakabe and L. E. Olp Effect of the
205		in the Large Helical Davice 2018 Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion 60 044005 APTN
200		044005
207	10 1000	01100J
200	10.1000	V Dagwa M Isaba H Kawasa T Nishitani P Saki M Osakaba and I. F. Crn Obsamution
209	[20]	K. Ogawa, M. ISOUC, H. Kawase, T. Mishilalli, K. Seki, M. Osakabe and L. E. Olp Observation
270		by enhanced radial transport of energence for due to energence particle mode destabilized by
271		A DTN 044001
272	10 1000	/17/1 /226/cooh18
273	[27]	V Oceane M Isoba & Suciname H Materiura D A Spang H Nuga D Saki & Kamia V
274	[27]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, S. Sugiyama, H. Matsuura, D. A. Spong, H. Nuga, K. Seki, S. Kamio, T.
273		Fujiwara, H. Famaguchi, M. Osakabe and L. E. Orp <i>Energence particle transport and loss</i>
270		Induced by helically-indeped energenc-ion-ariven resistive interchange modes in the Large
277	[ <b>1</b> 01	Helical Device 2020 Nuclear Fusion 60 112011 10.1088/1/41-4520/aboda0
270	[28]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, H. Nuga, S. Kamio, T. Fujiwara, M. I. Kobayashi, S. Sangaroon, E.
279		Takada, K. Seki, H. Yamaguchi, S. Murakami, J. Jo and M. Osakabe A study of beam ion and
280		aeuterium-aeuterium jusion-born triton transports aue to energetic particie-ariven
281		magnetonyarodynamic instability in the large helical device deuterium plasmas 2021 Nuclear
282	[20]	Fusion 61 096035 10.1088/1/41-4326/ac0d8a
283	[29]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, S. Kamio, H. Nuga, K. Seki, S. Sangaroon, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Fujiwara, E.
284		Takada, S. Murakami, J. Jo, Y. Takemura, H. Sakai, K. Tanaka, T. Tokuzawa, R. Yasuhara and
285		M. Osakabe Studies of energetic particle transport induced by multiple Alfven eigenmodes using
286		neutron and escaping energetic particle diagnostics in Large Helical Device deuterium plasmas
287	50.03	2022 Nuclear Fusion <b>62</b> 112001 10.1088/1741-4326/ac6f66
288	[30]	E. Takada, A. Fujisaki, N. Nakada, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani and H. Tomita
289		Development of Fast-Neutron Directional Detector for Fusion Neutron Profile Monitor at LHD
200		2016 Plasma and Fusion Research 11 2405020 10.1585/pfr.11.2405020
290	FO 1 7	W Charles M. Carles T. Nichstein, D. Talanda, D. Karness, T. Austein, N. De, I. L. M. Charle, I.
290 291	[31]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, I. Nishitani, E. Takada, H. Kawase, I. Amitani, N. Pu, J. Jo, M. Cheon, J.
290 291 292	[31]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani, E. Takada, H. Kawase, T. Amitani, N. Pu, J. Jo, M. Cheon, J. Kim, M. Miwa, S. Matsuyama and I. Murata <i>High detection efficiency scintillating fiber detector</i>
290 291 292 293	[31]	K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani, E. Takada, H. Kawase, T. Amitani, N. Pu, J. Jo, M. Cheon, J. Kim, M. Miwa, S. Matsuyama and I. Murata <i>High detection efficiency scintillating fiber detector for time-resolved measurement of triton burnup 14 MeV neutron in deuterium plasma</i>

- [32] N. Pu, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa and M. Isobe Scintillating fiber detectors for time evolution
   measurement of the triton burnup on the Large Helical Device 2018 Rev Sci Instrum 89 101105
   10.1063/1.5035290
- [33] E. Takada, T. Amitani, A. Fujisaki, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, M. Isobe, J. Jo, S. Matsuyama, M.
   Miwa and I. Murata *Design optimization of a fast-neutron detector with scintillating fibers for triton burnup experiments at fusion experimental devices* 2019 *Rev Sci Instrum* 90 043503
   10.1063/1.5074131
- K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, S. Sangaroon, E. Takada, T. Nakada, S. Murakami, J. Jo, G. Q. Zhong, Y. Zhang, S. Tamaki and I. Murata *Time-resolved secondary triton burnup 14 MeV neutron measurement by a new scintillating fiber detector in middle total neutron emission ranges in deuterium large helical device plasma experiments* 2021 *AAPPS Bulletin* **31** 10.1007/s43673-021-00023-2
- N. Pu, T. Nishitani, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, H. Kawase, T. Tanaka, S. Y. Li, S. Yoshihashi and A. Uritani *In situ calibration of neutron activation system on the large helical device* 2017 *Rev Sci Instrum* 88 113302 10.1063/1.5009475
- [36] M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, N. Pu, H. Kawase, R. Seki, H. Nuga, E. Takada, S. Murakami,
  Y. Suzuki, M. Yokoyama, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Fusion neutron production with deuterium neutral beam injection and enhancement of energetic-particle physics study in the large helical device* 2018 *Nuclear Fusion* 58 082004 ARTN 082004
- 314 10.1088/1741-4326/aabcf4
- M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani, H. Miyake, T. Kobuchi, N. Pu, H. Kawase, E. Takada, T.
  Tanaka, S. Y. Li, S. Yoshihashi, A. Uritani, J. Jo, S. Murakami, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Neutron Diagnostics in the Large Helical Device* 2018 *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science* 46
  2050 10.1109/Tps.2018.2836987
- [38] K. Ogawa, M. Isobe and M. Osakabe Progress on Integrated Neutron Diagnostics for Deuterium
   Plasma Experiments and Energetic Particle Confinement Studies in the Large Helical Device
   During the Campaigns from FY2017 to FY2019 2021 Plasma and Fusion Research 16 1102023
   10.1585/pfr.16.1102023
- M. Isobe, H. Yamanishi, M. Osakabe, H. Miyake, H. Tomita, K. Watanabe, H. Iwai, Y. Nomura,
  N. Nishio, K. Ishii, J. H. Kaneko, J. Kawarabayashi, E. Takada, A. Uritani, M. Sasao, T. Iguchi,
  Y. Takeiri and H. Yamada *Fusion product diagnostics planned for Large Helical Device deuterium experiment* 2010 *Rev Sci Instrum* 81 10D310 10.1063/1.3492383
- S. Kamio, K. Saito, R. Seki, H. Kasahara, M. Kanda, G. Nomura and T. Seki *Study of ion cyclotron range of frequencies heating characteristics in deuterium plasma in the Large Helical Device* 2021 *Nuclear Fusion* 62 10.1088/1741-4326/ac359d
- H. Matsuura, S. Sugiyama, K. Kimura, S. Kajimoto, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, Y. Kawamoto, M.
  Isobe and M. Osakabe *Observation of a nuclear-elastic-scattering effect caused by energetic protons on deuteron slowing-down behaviour on the Large Helical Device* 2020 *Nuclear Fusion*60 066007 10.1088/1741-4326/ab7e00
- H. Matsuura, K. Kimura, D. Umezaki, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, Y. Kawamoto, T. Oishi, M. Goto, N. Tamura, M. Osakabe, T. Nishitani and S. Sugiyama *Indirect energy transfer channel between fast ions via nuclear elastic scattering observed on the large helical device 2022 Physics of Plasmas* 29 10.1063/5.0097720
- Y. Kawamoto and H. Matsuura Method for determining the shape and size of a knock-on tail
   *using the Doppler-broadened γ-ray emission spectrum* 2019 Fusion Engineering and Design 144
   10.1016/j.fusengdes.2019.04.062
- 341[44]H. Matsuura, S. Sugiyama, S. Kajimoto, D. Sawada, Y. Nishimura and Y. Kawamoto Knock-on342Tail Formation Due to Nuclear Elastic Scattering and Its Observation Method Using  $<i>\gamma</i>$ 343Ray-Generating <sup>6</sup>Li+d Reaction in Tokamak Deuterium Plasmas 2016 Plasma344and Fusion Research 11 1403105 10.1585/pfr.11.1403105
- H. Matsuura, M. Nakamura and Y. Nakao Use of γ-Ray-Generating 6Li+D Reaction for
  Verification of Boltzmann-Fokker-Planck Simulation and Knock-on Tail Diagnostic in NeutralBeam-Injected Plasmas 2007 Plasma and Fusion Research 2 S1078 10.1585/pfr.2.S1078

- K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, H. Nuga, R. Seki, S. Ohdachi and M. Osakabe *Evaluation of Alpha Particle Emission Rate Due to the p-(11B) Fusion Reaction in the Large Helical Device* 2022 *Fusion Science and Technology* 78 175 10.1080/15361055.2021.1973294
- [47] K. Ogawa, S. Sangaroon and M. Isobe Large Volume and Fast Response Gamma Ray
   Diagnostic in the Large Helical Device 2023 Plasma and Fusion Research 18 2402016
   10.1585/pfr.18.2402016
- [48] K. Krmer, T. Schleid, M. Schulze, W. Urland and G. Meyer *Three Bromides of Lanthanum:* LaBr2, La2Br5, and LaBr3 1989 Zeitschrift fr anorganische und allgemeine Chemie 575 61 10.1002/zaac.19895750109
- https://www.shalomeo.com/Scintillators/Scintillation-Crystal-Materials/LaBr3-Ce/product 358 395.html
- [50] C. J. Werner, J. S. Bull, C. J. Solomon, F. B. Brown, G. W. McKinney, M. E. Rising, D. A.
  Dixon, R. L. Martz, H. G. Hughes, L. J. Cox, A. J. Zukaitis, J. C. Armstrong, R. A. Forster and L.
  Casswell 2018 *MCNP Version 6.2 Release Notes*. Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI))
- T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, H. Kawase, N. Pu, T. Ozaki and M. Isobe Monte Carlo calculation of
  the neutron and gamma-ray distributions inside the LHD experimental building and shielding
  design for diagnostics 2019 Progress in Nuclear Science and Technology 6 48
  10.15669/pnst.6.48
- M. Kobayashi, T. Tanaka, T. Nishitani, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, A. Kato, T. Saze, S. Yoshihashi, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *First measurements of thermal neutron distribution in the LHD torus hall generated by deuterium experiments* 2018 *Fusion Engineering and Design* 137 191
  10.1016/j.fusengdes.2018.09.013
- S. Yoshihashi, H. Yamada, M. Kobayashi, T. Nishitani, A. Yamazaki, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa and
  A. Uritani *Evaluation of Induced Radioactivity Generated during LHD Deuterium Plasma Experiments* 2022 *Plasma and Fusion Research* 17 2405096 10.1585/pfr.17.2405096
- K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani, S. Murakami, R. Seki, H. Nuga, S. Kamio, Y. Fujiwara, H.
  Yamaguchi, Y. Saito, S. Maeta, M. Osakabe and L. E. Grp *Energetic ion confinement studies using comprehensive neutron diagnostics in the Large Helical Device* 2019 *Nuclear Fusion* 59 076017 ARTN 076017
- 378 10.1088/1741-4326/ab14bc
- [55] M. I. Kobayashi, N. Suzuki, T. Saze, H. Miyake, K. Nishimura, H. Hayashi, T. Kobuchi, K.
  Ogawa, M. Isobe and M. Osakabe *The Evaluation of a Simple Measurement Method using NaI(Tl) Scintillation Survey-Meter for Radiation Safety Management of Radioactivated Armor Tiles of LHD Vacuum Vessel 2021 R a d i a t i o n S a f e t y*
- 383 Management **20** 20 10.12950/rsm.210416
- T. Tanaka, M. Kobayashi, S. Yoshihashi, A. Uritani, K. Watanabe, A. Yamazaki, T. Nishitani, K.
   Ogawa and M. Isobe *Measurement of Thermal and Epithermal Neutron Flux Distribution in the Torus Hall of LHD using Activation Method in the First Deuterium Experiment Campaign* 2019 *Plasma and Fusion Research* 14 3405162 10.1585/pfr.14.3405162
- M. Osakabe, Y. Takeiri, T. Morisaki, G. Motojima, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, M. Tanaka, S.
  Murakami, A. Shimizu, K. Nagaoka, H. Takahashi, K. Nagasaki, H. Takahashi, T. Fujita, Y.
  Oya, M. Sakamoto, Y. Ueda, T. Akiyama, H. Kasahara, S. Sakakibara, R. Sakamoto, M.
  Tokitani, H. Yamada, M. Yokoyama, Y. Yoshimura and L. E. Grp *Current Status of Large Helical Device and Its Prospect for Deuterium Experiment* 2017 *Fusion Science and Technology*199 10.1080/15361055.2017.1335145
- K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, T. Nishitani and T. Kobuchi *The large helical device vertical neutron camera operating in the MHz counting rate range* 2018 *Rev Sci Instrum* 89 113509
   10.1063/1.5054818
- H. Nakanishi, M. Ohsuna, M. Kojima, S. Imazu, M. Nonomura, M. Hasegawa, K. Nakamura, A. Higashijima, M. Yoshikawa, M. Emoto, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nagayama and K. Kawahata *Data Acquisition and Management System of LHD 2010 Fusion Science and Technology* 58 445
  10.13182/fst10-a10830

401	[60]	C. Cazzaniga, M. Nocente, M. Tardocchi, G. Croci, L. Giacomelli, M. Angelone, M. Pillon, S. Willowi, A. Wallon, J. Distribution of C. Cazini, Providence of LaBra2(Ca) asiatillations to 2.5 MeV
402		villari, A. weller, L. Petrizzi and G. Gorini Response of Labr3(Ce) scintillators to 2.5 MeV
403	5613	jusion neutrons 2013 Review of Scientific Instruments 84 123505 10.1063/1.484/056
404	[61]	M. P. Taggart and J. Henderson Fast-neutron response of LaBr3(Ce) and LaCl3(Ce) scintillators
405		2020 Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators,
406		Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 975 10.1016/j.nima.2020.164201
407	[62]	S. Sugiyama, H. Matsuura and T. Goto Neutron Incident Angle and Energy Distribution at
408		Vacuum Vessel for Beam-Injected Deuterium Plasmas in the Large Helical Device 2016 Plasma
409		and Fusion Research 11 2403049 10.1585/pfr.11.2403049
410	[63]	S. Sugiyama, T. Nishitani, H. Matsuura, M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, T. Tanaka, S. Yoshihashi, A.
411		Uritani and M. Osakabe Observation of neutron emission anisotropy by neutron activation
412		measurement in beam-injected LHD deuterium plasmas 2020 Nuclear Fusion 60 10.1088/1741-
413		4326/ab90c9
414	[64]	S. Sangaroon, K. Ogawa, M. Isobe, M. I. Kobayashi, Y. Fujiwara, S. Kamio, H. Yamaguchi, R.
415		Seki, H. Nuga, S. Tovama, M. Miwa, S. Matsuvama, E. Takada, S. Murakami, G. O. Zhong and
416		M. Osakabe Neutron energy spectrum measurement using CLYC7-based compact neutron
417		emission spectrometer in the Large Helical Device 2021 Journal of Instrumentation 16 C12025
418		10 1088/1748-0221/16/12/c12025
419	[65]	S Sangaroon K Ogawa M Isobe M I Kohayashi Y Fujiwara S Kamio H Yamaguchi R
420	[05]	Seki H Nuga E Takada S Murakami G O Zhong and M Osakabe Observation of
420		significant Doppler shift in deuterium deuterium neutron energy caused by neutral beam
421		injection in the large helical device 2022 AAPPS Bulletin <b>32</b> , 5, 10, 1007/sA3673, 022, 00036, 5
422	[66]	T Shimozuma H Takahashi S Kuba V Vashimura H Izami V Takita S Kabayashi S Ita
423	[00]	I. Similozuma, H. Takanashi, S. Kubo, T. Tosimilura, H. Igami, T. Takha, S. Kobayashi, S. Ito,
424		T. Ivilizuno, H. Idel, T. Notake, M. Sato, K. Onkubo, T. Watari, T. Muton, K. Minami, T. Kariya,
425		1. Inal and L. E. Orp Ecrn-Related Technologies for Figh-Power and Steady-State Operation in Lind 2010 Eugine Science and Technology <b>59</b> , 520 Doi: 10.12182/Ect59, 520
420	[67]	V. Takaini O. Kanaka K. Taymani M. Ozakaba K. Ikada K. Nagaaka H. Nakana E. Agana T.
427	[0/]	I. Takeni, O. Kaneko, K. Isumori, M. Osakabe, K. Ikeda, K. Ikeda, M. Nagaoka, H. Nakano, E. Asano, I. Vanda, M. Sata, M. Shibuya, S. Kamada and J. E. Cun High Dayloung and a flouting Dague
420		Kondo, M. Salo, M. Shibuya, S. Komada and L. E. Orp <i>High Performance of Neutral Beam</i>
429		Injectors for Extension of Lha Operational Regime 2010 Fusion Science and Technology 56 482
430	FC01	D01 10.15182/FST10-A10834
431	[68]	M. Yoshinuma, K. Ida, M. Yokoyama, M. Osakabe and K. Nagaoka <i>Charge-Exchange</i>
432		Spectroscopy with Pitch-Controlled Double-Slit Fiber Bundle on LHD 2010 Fusion Science and
433	5 ( 0 3	Technology 58 375 10.13182/tst10-a10823
434	[69]	T. Akıyama, K. Kawahata, K. Tanaka, T. Tokuzawa, Y. Ito, S. Okajima, K. Nakayama, C. A.
435		Michael, L. N. Vyacheslavov, A. Sanin, S. Tsuji-lio and L. E. Grp Interferometer Systems on
436		Lhd 2010 Fusion Science and Technology 58 352 Doi 10.13182/Fst10-8
437	[70]	I. Yamada, K. Narihara, H. Funaba, T. Minami, H. Hayashi and T. Kohmoto Recent Progress of
438		the LHD Thomson Scattering System 2017 Fusion Science and Technology <b>58</b> 345
439		10.13182/fst10-a10820
440	[71]	D. Ito, H. Yazawa, M. Tomitaka, T. Kumagai, S. Kono, M. Yamauchi, T. Misawa, T. Kobuchi,
441		H. Hayashi, H. Miyake, K. Ogawa, T. Nishitani and M. Isobe Development of a Wide Dynamic
442		Range Neutron Flux Measurement Instrument Having Fast Time Response for Fusion
443		Experiments 2021 Plasma and Fusion Research 16 1405018 10.1585/pfr.16.1405018
444	[72]	M. Isobe, K. Ogawa, H. Miyake, H. Hayashi, T. Kobuchi, Y. Nakano, K. Watanabe, A. Uritani,
445		T. Misawa, T. Nishitani, M. Tomitaka, T. Kumagai, Y. Mashiyama, D. Ito, S. Kono, M.
446		Yamauchi and Y. Takeiri Wide dynamic range neutron flux monitor having fast time response
447		for the Large Helical Device 2014 Rev Sci Instrum 85 11E114 10.1063/1.4891049
448		
-		