§30. High-energy Proton Tail Formation at $R_{ax} = 3.6 \text{ m}$ and 3.75 m

Sasao, M., Isobe, M., Saito, K., Kumazawa, R., Seki, T., Krasilnikov, A.V. (Troitsk Inst. for Inn. & Fusion Res.)

It has been experimentally shown that excellent energy confinement property is obtained in the inward shifted configuration ($R_{ax} = 3.6$ m), in spite of the enhanced magnetic hill structure compared with that of the standard configuration ($R_{ax} = 3.75$ m). This is mainly considered due to improvement of neoclassical transport and high-energy particle confinement.

Fast ion behavior have been studied by measuring the high energy neutral particle spectra during minority ICRF heating [1], and their relaxation after the ICRF termination [2], by using natural diamond detectors [1]. The measurements of the 1999 campaign show that acceleration and slowing down of perpendicular particles behaved classically, and the orbit loss was not observed

(a) $R_{ax} = 3.6 \text{ m}$



(b) $R_{ax} = 3.75 \text{ m}$



Fig. 1. Poloidal cross sections of LHD magnetic closed surfaces at $R_{ax} = 3.6$ m (a) and $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m (b), together with cyclotron resonance surfaces of 38.47 MHz and 40.47 MHz. Dashed lines show two vertical observation chords.

in the inward shifted configuration ($R_{ax} = 3.6$ m) in the density range of $n_e < 10^{19}/m^3$.

In the 4th campaign, two vertical observation chords were prepared, one at $R \simeq 3.65$ m and one at $R \simeq 3.9$ m as shown in Fig. 1. ICRF of 38.47MHz (1.8-2.3s) and 40 MHz (2.8-3.3s) was applied to an NBI-sustained plasma. In Fig. 2 are shown the time evolution of high-energy proton temperature. Stix parameter dependence of the central chord shows little difference between two cases ($R_{ax} = 3.6m$, 3.75 m), but some differences were seen in the outer chord spectra, showing the orbit effect.

Reference

[1] A. V. Krasilnikov, M. Sasao, M. Isobe, et al., submitted to Nuclea Fusion(2001).

[2] M.Sasao, S.Murakami, M.Isobe, A.V.Krasilnikov, et.al. 18 IAEA

Fusion Energy Conf. (Sorento, October 2000), IAEA-CN-77/EX9/1



Fig. 2 Time evolution of T_{eff} at $R_{ax} = 3.6$ m (upper two) and 3.75 m (lower two).